

Improving Mandarin Productivity and Quality by Using Mineral and Bio-Fertilization

H.M. El Khayat* and M. A. Abdel Rehiem

Horticulture Research Institute, Egypt

*Corresponding author: elkhayat_h_M66@yahoo.com

Received on: 27/5/2013

Accepted:28/7/2013

ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted during the two growing seasons 2009 and 2010 in Agwa farm, in Deby Rasheed, El Behera governorate to investigate the effect of using two sources of nitrogen and phosphorous; the first is the mineral fertilizers ammonium nitrate and calcium super phosphate, and the second is the bio-fertilizers Nitrobin and Phosphorin, applied either alone or in combinations to different mandarin trees cultivars; Balady, Clementine and Chinese (Emperor). on the tree growth, leaf mineral contents and fruit quality. Obtained results indicated that, the Balady fruits had the highest leaf potassium and acidity content and higher leaf nitrogen as compared with Clementine, Furthermore, Clementine had the highest total soluble solids content. Meanwhile, the highest vitamin C content occurred in the Chinese variety. Additionally, leaf phosphorous, potassium, as well as fruit acidity contents in the three mandarin varieties increased only by applying 500 g mineral P plus 100 g Phosphorin. In addition, a significant increase in fruit total soluble solids was obtained by applying 300g Nitrobin. Furthermore, the treatment; 500 g mineral P plus 100 g Phosphorin and 250g mineral P + 200g Phosphorin increased fruit diameter. 750 mineral N plus 200g Nitrobin increased fruit length. Moreover, 750 mineral N plus 200g Nitrobin and 300g Nitrobin showed an increase in leaf nitrogen as compared with 1500 g mineral N plus 100g Nitrobin in the first season.

Key words: Mandarin -bio-fertilizers- mineral composition- fruit quality.

INTRODUCTION

Citrus trees have an outstanding economical importance among fruit crops in Egypt. The total production of citrus fruit amounts to 2770000 tons representing 38.2 % of the total production of fruit trees in Egypt. Mandarin occupies the second planted citrus species after orange with 27.2 % of citrus cultivated area and 21.7 % of total citrus production (The Central of Horticulture Management 2002). Recently, the use and beneficial influence of bio-fertilizers is reported for various fruit species. Biofertilizers is known to increase the availability of many nutrients in the soil by improving their uptake and utilization (Abd – Elmoniem and Radwan, 2003). They increase and enhance the uptake of phosphorous and nitrogen by affecting the soil pH, phosphorus solubility and nitrogen fixation (Frankenberger and Arshed, 1995). Biofertilizers containing the Azotobacter produces many growth regulators such as IAA and GA which positively influence plant growth (Sharma and Kumar, 2008). Accordingly, the present

investigation is carried out to study the effect of applying two different kind of fertilization; mineral and biofertilizers on growth, leaf mineral composition and fruit quality of three mandarin varieties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out during 2009 and 2010 seasons on 15 year old mandarin trees (*Citrus reticulata*, Blanco). Three mandarin cultivars, Balady, Clementine and Chinese (Emperor). budded on sour orange rootstock (*Citrus aurantium*, L.) were grown at Agwa farm in Deby Rasheed, El Behera governorate were used for this study. The soil was clay with pH of 7.89 and the soil analysis is presented in Table (1). It contained 0.15% available N, 1.04 mol/g available K, 23.2 mg/g available P and 2.37 % organic matter. Trees were selected as uniform as possible and received different mineral and bio- fertilizer applications.

Table1: Soil analysis of the experimental orchard.

Soil Texture	Soil depth(cm)	EC mmhos/cm	pH	Cations (meq/L)					Anions (meq/L)		
				K ⁺	Mg ⁺⁺	Ca ⁺⁺	Na ⁺	HCO ₃ ⁻	Cl ⁻	SO ₄ ⁻	
Sand	37.9	0-30	1.54	7.89	0.308	3.5	6.1	5.5	5.13	6.33	3.79
Silt	19.2	30-60	1.36	7.89	0.509	4.1	3.1	4.88	2.9	6.7	4.8
Clay	42.9	60-90	1.23	7.57	0.576	7.4	3.5	6.1	3.7	9.54	5.24

The mineral fertilizers were ammonium nitrate {(33.5%N), mineral N} and calcium super phosphate { (15.5%P₂O₅), mineral P}. The bio – fertilizers were Nitrobin (N-fixing bacteria) and Phosphoren (P-dissolved bacteria) produced by General Organization for Agriculture Equalization Found (GOAEF), Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt. Ammonium nitrate fertilizer was divided into two equal doses applied in March and May of both seasons. Calcium super phosphate was applied once in December of both seasons. The full dose of mineral N+P fertilizer (100%) was 3Kg ammonium nitrate + 1Kg calcium super phosphate per tree. Biofertilizers were applied once in May of both seasons and trees were subjected to the following treatments:

- 1- Control (3 Kg ammonium nitrate + 1Kg calcium super phosphate).
- 2- 1.5 kg ammonium nitrate + 100g Nitrobin.
- 3- 750 g ammonium nitrate + 200gm Nnitrobin.
- 4- 300 g Nitrobin.
- 5- 500 g calcium super phosphate + 100g Phosphorin
- 6- 250 g calcium super phosphate + 200g Phosphorin.
- 7- 300g Phosphorin.

Treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with three replications for each treatment and five trees for each replicate (7 treatments x3 replicates x 5 trees= 105 trees) for each variety. Mineral fertilizers were broadcasted on the soil surface and trees were irrigated after application. In addition, a sample of 8 leaves was taken in September from the middle part of non-fruiting spring shoots of each replicate in both seasons in order to determine leaf nutrients content. Leaf samples were washed with tap and distilled water, weighed and oven dried at 65-70°C to a constant weighed. The dried leaf were ground and digested with sulphuric acid and hydrogen peroxide as mentioned by Evenhuis and Dewaard (1980). Suitable aliquots were taken for the determination of nitrogen and phosphorous colorimetrically according to Evenhuis (1976) and Murphy and Riley (1962). Potassium was determined by a flame photometer. Furthermore, a sample of five fruits was randomly taken from each replicate at harvest time of both seasons (February) in order to determine fruit physical and chemical quality characteristics; average fruit weight (g), fruit length and diameter (cm) were recorded. The percentage of fruit total soluble solids (TSS) was determined using a hand refractometer. The percentage of juice acidity as citric acid and vitamin C content as mg ascorbic acid/100 ml juice were determined according to A.O.A.C. (1995). Finally, all data obtained were statistically analyzed (two ways analysis) according to Snedecor and Cochran (1980) using SAS 1989.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Leaf mineral content

With regard to the mandarin cultivars the data presented in Tables (2&3) showed that Balady mandarin had significantly higher leaf nitrogen content than Clementine in both seasons. In addition, data of the second season showed that Balady mandarin had significantly higher leaf potassium content than Clementine and Chinese (Emperor) cultivars. However, the three cultivars did not significantly differ among each other in their leaf phosphorous content .

As for the different fertilization treatments, obtained data in Tables (2&3) indicated that both treatments; 750 g mineral N +200g Nitrobin, and 300 g Nitrobin caused a significant increase in leaf nitrogen content in the first seasons as compared with 1500 g mineral N+100g Nitrobin. Furthermore, 1500 g mineral N+100g Nitrobin and 500 g mineral P+100g Phosphorin treatments significantly increased leaf phosphorous in comparison with the control and 250g mineral P+200g Phosphorin. treatment in the first season and all other treatments except 300g Phosphorin treatment In the second season. A significant increase in leaf potassium content was obtained by all treatments in the first season and 500 g mineral P+ 100g Phosphorin and 300g Phosphorin treatments in the second one in comparison with the control. These results were in harmony with those obtained by Solaiman et al (2003) working on "Balady" orange, they pointed that inoculation treatment had always greater nutrient element content at the same level of mineral fertilization, Usha et al (2003) working on mandarin, who concluded that Azotobacter application was beneficial for Kinnow trees through the release of higher concentrations of Malic, Citric, Fumaric and Shikimic acids that improve the availability of soil nutrients, Mohamed (2005) working on different citrus rootstocks, Sharawy (2005) working on Balady lime, Abd EL-Migeed et al (2007) working on Washington Navel orange, Ali et al (2007) working on Valencia orange, Wassel et al (2007) working Balady mandarin, Shaban and Mohsen (2009) on citrus rootstocks and El-Sisy et al (2011) working on guava. They all reported that the combined application of bio and mineral fertilizers increased leaf mineral composition. Also bio-fertilizer application gave higher leaf mineral composition than fertilization completely via mineral sources. Furthermore, Frankenberger and Arshed,1995, Abd –Elmoniem and Radwan, 2003. reported that, micro-organisms in bio-fertilizers maximize the availability of nutrients in the soil and improve their uptake and utilization.

Fruit Quality

With regard to the mandarin cultivars, the data presented in Tables (3, 4 & 5) showed that fruit total soluble solids of Clementine mandarin are

Table 2: The effect of bio and mineral fertilizers on the leaf nitrogen and phosphorous content.

Treatments	Leaf nitrogen (%)						Leaf phosphorous (%)									
	2009		2010		Means		2009		2010		Means					
	Balady	Clementine	Chinese	Means	Balady	Clementine	Chinese	Balady	Clementine	Chinese	Means					
Control (3 Kg mineral N + 1Kg mineral P)	2.49	1.93	1.92	2.11	2.17	2.05	1.99	2.07	1.79	0.156	0.141	0.164	0.253	0.235	0.127	0.218
1.5kg mineral N+100 gNitrobin	2.01	1.71	1.99	1.99	2.44	1.99	2.16	2.2	0.239	0.261	0.309	0.269	0.234	0.286	0.281	0.267
750 g mineral N +200g Nitrobin	2.49	2.41	2.47	2.46	2.42	2.47	2.13	2.34	0.231	0.293	0.199	0.230	0.186	0.190	0.19	0.187
300gNitrobin.	2.96	2.69	1.95	2.54	2.39	2.28	2.22	2.3	0.321	0.225	0.21	0.252	0.203	0.229	0.157	0.196
500 g mineral P + 100g Phosphorin.	2.79	1.97	2.36	2.37	2.74	1.97	2.27	2.34	0.167	0.237	0.363	0.256	0.241	0.229	0.318	0.263
250g mineral P+200g Phosphorin.	2.34	1.85	2.29	2.16	2.40	1.87	2.19	2.16	0.158	0.216	0.175	0.183	0.215	0.213	0.159	0.196
300 g Phosphorin.	2.37	1.83	2.45	2.22	2.42	1.88	2.23	2.17	0.234	0.288	0.207	0.243	0.254	0.233	0.185	0.224
Mean	2.49	2.06	2.21	2.07	2.42	2.07	2.17	2.17	0.218	0.229	0.229	0.227	0.227	0.231	0.203	0.203
0.525	0.324			0.494		0.344		0.525		0.034		0.051		0.031		0.048

Table 3: The effect of bio and mineral fertilizers on leaf potassium and fruit total soluble solid content.

Treatments	Leaf potassium (%)						Fruit total soluble solids (%)									
	2009		2010		Means		2009		2010		Means					
	Balady	Clementine	Chinese	Means	Balady	Clementine	Chinese	Balady	Clementine	Chinese	Means					
Control (3 Kg mineral N + 1Kg mineral P)	1.09	1.06	1.29	1.15	1.3	1.32	1.3	1.31	9.93	10.33	9.00	9.75	9.23	10.92	11.37	10.51
1.5kg mineral N +100 gNitrobin	2.33	2.17	1.29	1.93	1.48	1.81	1.17	1.49	10	10.47	10.17	10.21	12.2	12.39	11.51	12.04
750 g mineral N +200gm Nitrobin	1.83	1.36	1.86	1.68	1.87	1.38	1.47	1.57	11.27	11.17	10.7	11.04	12.46	11.47	12.35	12.09
300gm Nitrobin	1.44	1.93	1.51	1.63	2.01	1.38	1.38	1.59	11.13	11.6	9.97	10.9	12.32	12.17	12.79	12.43
500 g mineral P + 100g Phosphorin.	1.97	2.35	1.92	2.08	2.84	2.03	1.75	2.21	10.5	11.16	9.33	10.33	10.2	11.25	12.31	11.25
250g mineral P+200g Phosphorin	1.62	1.44	1.64	1.57	1.66	1.38	1.58	1.54	10.33	10.93	10	10.42	11.37	10.38	12.03	11.26
300gPhosphorin	2.14	2.05	1.37	1.85	2.95	2.22	1.34	2.17	9.43	11.5	9.57	10.17	11.04	11.59	12.32	11.65
Mean	1.77	1.76	1.56	1.62	2.02	1.65	1.43	1.62	10.37	11.02	9.82	10.17	11.26	11.46	12.09	11.65
L.S.D 0.05	0.227			0.347		0.3291		0.503		.535		0.817		0.497		0.754

Table 4: The effect of bio and mineral fertilizers on fruit acidity and vitamin C content.

Treatments	Acidity (%)				Vitamin C mg ascorbic acid /100ml juice				Means							
	2009		2010		2009		2010									
	Balady	Clementine	Chinese	Means	Balady	Clementine	Chinese	Means								
Control (3 Kg mineral N + 1Kg mineral P)	1.23	1.39	0.561	1.06	1.23	1.18	0.617	1.01	33.3	31.87	38.94	34.7	36.7	42.76	42.83	40.77
1.5kg mineral N +100 gNitrobin	0.895	0.955	0.653	0.83	0.941	0.949	0.701	0.86	36.63	55.47	30.1	40.73	43.1	55.8	33.1	43.99
750 g mineral N +200g Nitrobin	1.39	0.837	0.712	0.98	0.855	0.835	0.784	0.82	36.33	52.76	41	43.37	49.97	58.03	54.97	54.32
300g m Nitrobin.	1.34	0.836	0.993	1.05	0.985	0.760	1.093	0.95	37.3	69.25	73.73	60.09	52.4	66.63	81.1	66.71
500 g mineral P + 100gPhosphorin.	1.32	1.18	1.62	1.37	0.928	1.143	1.45	1.17	47.17	54.83	75.46	59.15	52.2	52.1	82.3	62.19
250g mineral P+200gPhosphorin.	1.43	0.983	0.972	1.13	1.57	0.923	0.748	1.08	55.37	38.3	67.1	53.59	47.5	49.86	61.89	53.09
300g Phosphorin.	1.39	1.36	0.605	1.12	1.53	1.33	0.672	1.18	41.48	37.63	43.03	40.72	48.93	49.33	47.33	48.53
Mean	1.28	1.08	0.874	1.15	1.15	1.02	0.867	1.08	41.08	48.3	52.76	47.25	47.25	53.50	57.64	
L.S.D.0.05		0.146		0.223		0.140		0.214		5.56		8.49		6.69		10.23

Table 5: The effect of bio and mineral fertilizers on fruit length and fruit diameter.

Treatments	Fruit length (cm)				Fruit diameter (cm)				Means							
	2009		2010		2009		2010									
	Balady	Clementine	Chinese	Means	Balady	Clementine	Chinese	Means								
Control (3 Kg mineral N + 1Kg mineral P)	4.9	5.3	5.34	5.18	5.26	4.83	5.83	5.31	6.03	5.7	7.05	6.10	6.33	5.2	7.43	6.32
1.5kg mineral N +100 g Nitrobin.	5.2	6.66	4.04	5.30	5.27	6.08	4.4	5.25	5.62	6	5.6	5.74	5.73	6	6.3	6.01
750 g mineral N +200g Nitrobin	4.67	7.17	5.31	5.71	5.33	6.4	5.8	5.87	5.83	6	5.63	5.82	6.93	5.6	5.77	6.1
300gm Nitrobin.	4.75	6.57	4.79	5.37	4.93	4.5	5.3	4.91	5.64	6.64	5.18	5.82	5.5	5.37	5.7	5.52
500 g mineral P + 100gPhosphorin.	4.9	5.76	5.12	5.26	5.5	6.15	5.63	5.76	5.87	5.9	6.03	5.93	6.4	6.8	6.73	5.54
250g mineral P+200g Phosphorin.	5.36	5.48	5.27	5.37	5.9	5.33	5.8	5.68	6	6.02	6.36	6.13	6.6	6.47	6.9	6.66
300g Phosphorin.	4.96	4.31	4.94	4.74	6.05	5.14	5.3	5.49	6.04	5.34	5.06	5.48	6.67	5.87	5.57	6.03
Mean	4.96	5.89	4.97	5.46	5.46	5.50	5.44	5.44	5.86	5.94	5.85	6.30	6.30	5.90	6.34	
L.S.D.0.05		0.423		0.646		0.489		0.747		0.532		0.813		0.448		0.684

significantly higher than Balady and Chinese mandarin in the first season. Whereas, Chinese (Emperor) had significantly higher total soluble solids than Balady and Clementine mandarin in the second one. However, the higher fruit acidity content was obtained in the Balady mandarin and "Clementine" cultivars in the first seasons when compared with Chinese (Emperor). Furthermore, in the second seasons Clementine had significantly higher fruit acidity when compared with Chinese. Additionally, Chinese (Emperor) mandarin had significantly higher vitamin C content than the Balady mandarin variety in both seasons. In addition, the data of the first season presented in Table (5) showed that the Clementine cultivars obtained the highest fruit length. However, all varieties indicated no significant differences among each other in their fruit diameter.

As for the effect of the different fertilization treatments, the data of both seasons presented in tables (3 & 4) indicated that 300 gm Nitrobin and 750 g mineral N +200 gm Nitrobin significantly increased fruit total soluble solids as compared with the control in the first season and all treatments except 1.5kg mineral N +100 g Nitrobin and 300 gm Phosphorin in the second season. Vitamin C content was significantly higher by adding 300 gm Nitrobin as compared with the control, 1.5kg mineral N +100 g Nitrobin, 750 g mineral N +200 gm Nitrobin and 300 gm Phosphorin in both seasons. Moreover, fruit acidity increased significantly by 500 g mineral P+ 100g Phosphorin treatment than all treatments in the first season and than 1.5kg mineral N +100 g Nitrobin, 750 g mineral N +200gm Nitrobin and 300gm Nitrobin in the second season. 1.5kg mineral N +100 g Nitrobin had significantly the lowest fruit acidity as compared with the control, 250mineral P+200g Phosphorin and 300g Phosphorin in the first season. The obtained results were in agreement with those reported by Mostafa (2002) on Washington navel orange and, Salama (2002) on Balady mandarin. Also, Akl et al (1997) on grapevines who found that Phosphorin and active dry yeast caused highly increase in berry set, Mansour (1998) reported that bio-fertilizer were very effective in improving yield of Anna apple, Abdle –Hamid, 2002.who reported bio-fertilization and bio-stimulant gave the highest fruit volume and weight for olive and Osman (2003) working on Zaghlood date revealed that bio-fertilizer treatment was the best one regarding yield and fruit characteristics for Zaghlood. cv. under Alexandria conditions.

CONCLUSION

Balady trees had the highest leaf potassium and fruit acidity content and higher leaf nitrogen than the Clementine mandarin. Whereas, the Clementine had the highest total soluble solids content. In the

meanwhile, the highest Vitamin C content occurred in the Chinese mandarin. The treatments; 500 g mineral P + 100 g Phosphorin and 300g Nitrobin resulted in the best effect on improving most of the fruit chemical and physical quality characteristics. In general bio-fertilizer combination with nonorganic fertilizer increased N and P content and improve fruit quality.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Hameed, A.A. (2002). Response of *Manzanillo Olive Trees* to Nitrogen and Bio-fertilizer Under Northern Western Coast Conditions pp. 84-88. M.Sc. Thesis. Fac. AGRIC, Cairo Univ, Egypt.
- Abd EL-Migeed., M.M; M.S. Saleh and E..A.M Mostafa. (2007). The beneficial effect of minimizing mineral nitrogen fertilization on Washington Navel orange trees by using organic and biofertilizers. *World Journal of Agriculture Sciences*. **3(1)**:80-85
- Abd EL-Moniem, Eman A.A. and S.M.A. Radwan (2003). Response of Williams banana plants to bio fertilization in relation to growth, productivity and fruit quality .Arab Univ. J.Agric.Sci.,Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, **11(2)** :751-763
- Ali, Nadia A.A.; B.M., Soliman and M.A Hassan. (2007). Effect of Azotobacter and different sources of organic matter on growth and nutrition of Valencia seedling in new soil. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, **32(10)**:8553-8573.
- Akl, A.M.; F.F Ahmed.; F.M .El-Morsy and M.A Ragab .(1997). The beneficial effects of bio-fertilizer on Red Roomy grapevines (*Vitis vinifera,L.*)2-The effect of berry set ,yield and quality of berries *Annals of Agric. Sci, Moshtohor* ,**35**:497-502.
- A. O. A. C (Association of Official Agriculture Chemists). (1995). *Official of Methods of Analysis*.15th Ed. published by A.O.A.C., Washington, DC(USA)
- EL-Sisy, Wafaa. A.; Mervat , Souror and Eman .EL-Said (2011). Effect of using bio and mineral fertilizers on growth, yield and fruit quality of Guava cv .Seedling Montkab. *Alexandria Science Exchange Journal*, **32 (1)** pp:76-83.
- Evenhuis, B. (1976). Nitrogen Determination. Dept. Agric. Res. Royal Tropical Inst., Amsterdam.!, B. and P.W. Deward (1980). *Principles and Practices in Plant Analysis*. FAO Soil Bull. **38**:152-163
- FAO. 1994. *Fertilizer Year –Book* ,44
- .Frankenberger, W.T and M.J.R. Arshed. (1995). *Phytohormones solid (Microbial production and function)* Marcel Dekker, Inc. Publisher, 270 Madison Avenue, New York ,USA

- Mansour ,A.E.M. (1998).Response of Anna apples to some bio-fertilizers. Egypt. J. Hort., **25(2)**:241-251
- Mohamed, H.S.A. (2005). Studies on the physiological effect of bio-fertilization and growing media on some citrus rootstocks. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., EL-Fayoum, Cairo University ,Egypt.
- Moustafa. M.A (2002). Studies on fertilization of Washington navel orange trees.Ph.D. Dissertation Fac .of Agric. Moshtoher, Zagazig Uni, Benha Branch, Egypt.
- Murphy, J. and J. P. Riley (1962). A modified single solution method for determination of phosphate in natural water .Ana l. Chem .Acta **27**:31-36
- Osman, S.M.(2003) .Effect of bio- fertilizer on fruit physical and chemical properties of Zaghloul date palm ..Annals Agric. Sc i,Ain Shams Univ, Cairo, **48 (1)**: 297-305.
- Salama .A.S.M. (2002). Response of some fruit species transplant and trees to organic fertilization. Ph.D. thesis, Fac. Agric, Moshtoher, Zagazig Uni, Benha Branch, Egypt.
- SAS Institute, (1989). SAS/STAT Users guide, version 8.1. SAS Institute, Carey, NC.
- Shaban, A. E. A. and A.T .Mohsen (2009). Response of citrus rootstocks and transplants to bio-fertilizers .J. Hort .Sci.& Omamen. Plants, **1(2)**:39-48.
- Sharawy, A. M. A (2005).Response of Balady lime trees to organic and bio-fertilization .Minia J.of Agric. Res.& Develop .Vol.(25)No.1pp:1-18.
- Sharma, S.D. and P. .Kumar (2008). Relationship of arbuscularmycorrhizal fungi and Azotobacter with plant growth ,fruit yield, soil and leaf nutrient status of mango orchards in North – Western Himalayan region of India. Journal of Applied Horticulture, **10(2)**:172-178
- Snedecor, G.W and W.G Cochran.(1980). Statistical Methods, 7thEdn, Ames. Iowa, USA State univ. Press 507pp.
- Solaiman ,B.M; Nadia. A. A. Ali and S.M .Ahmed. (2003). Response of Balady orange trees productivity and some microbial activity to bio-fertilizer in reclaimed soil. Eleventh Conference of the Egyptian Society of Applied Microbiology Cairo, **12-14 October**.
- Usha, K.; A. Saxena. and B. Singh. (2003). Rhizospheredynamics influenced by arbuscularmycorrhizal fungus (Glomus Deserticola)and related changes in leaf nutrient status and yield of Kinnow mandarin King (Citrus nobilis)xWillow leaf (Citrus Deliciosa) Australian Journal of Agricultural Research **55**,1-6.
- Wassel,A.H.; M.A Mohamed.; M.A .Ragab: R.A .Sayed and Y.A Hussein. (2007). Influence of bio-fertilization and method of potassium application on the growth and yield of Balady (Citrus reticulate ,Blanco).1 Inter .Conf. Desert Cultivation Problems & Solutions, Minia University, **27-29March**.

-

()

()

:

()

.

.

()

.

.

+

+

+

.

.

.