Effect of Sowing Date, Plant Density and Phosphorus Fertilization on Seed Yield of Okra

El-Waraky, Y. B.

Veg. Res. Dept., Hort. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt

Received on: 2/3/2014

Accepted: 11/3/2014

ABSTRACT

This work was carried out at Disuq district, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate during the summer seasons of 2012 and 2013 on okra plants (new variety under registration). The main objective of this research was to evaluate the response of okra plants to sowing date, plant density and phosphorus fertilizer levels. A split-split plot in a randomized complete blocks design with three replicates was used. Results are summarized as follows: Okra plants cultivated in mid-April produced the highest mean values of vegetative growth in comparison with the other sowing dates. However, okra seeds which sowing in mid-May caused more earliness of flowering, in both seasons. It was also noticed that, okra plants cultivated in mid-April produced the highest mean values of seed yield and its components, i.e., seed yield $plant^{-1}$, seed yield fed.⁻¹, number of pods plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod⁻¹, seed yield pod⁻¹, weight of 100-seeds, pod weight and seed germination percentage. Meanwhile, plants cultivated in mid-March produced the lowest seed yield and its component, in both seasons. Less plant density improved vegetative and flowering traits, as well as, some seed yield components and increased seed germination percentage. The high the plant density the high was seed yield fed.⁻¹. The results indicated, also, that increasing applied phosphorus fertilizer rate up to 45 kg P₂O₅ fed.⁻¹ was accompanied with significant increases in vegetative and flowering traits, and reflected positively and significantly on increasing seed yield and its components, and seed germination percentage. Okra plants cultivated in mid-April was rather response to less plant density which surpassed in vegetative growth and seed yield plant⁻¹, number of pod plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod⁻¹, seed yield pod⁻¹, weigh of 100-seeds, pod weigh and seed germination percentage, in both seasons. However, okra plants cultivated in mid-April with 45 kg P_2O_5 fed.⁻¹ produced the highest mean values of vegetative growth, seed yield and its components. Less plant density with increasing applied phosphorus fertilizer rate up to 45 kg P2O5 fed.⁻¹ produced the highest values of vegetative growth characters, some seed yield and its components, in both seasons. According to the mentioned results, the recommendation for obtaining the highest seed yield from new okra variety under registration is planting in mid-April and plant density 44400 plants fed.⁻¹ and applied phosphorus fertilizer rate 45 kg P₂O₅ fed.⁻¹.

Key words: Okra, sowing date, plant density, phosphorus fertilizer.

INTRODUCTION

Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench), is an important fruit vegetable crop of the tropical and subtropical regions of the world. In Egypt, it is one of the most popular vegetables and considered a valuable source of calcium, iron and vitamins. It has been grown for its edible green pods which can be used as fresh, canned, frozen, or dried food.

The seed is the prime factor that determines the quantitative and qualitative characteristics of the crop that is going to be harvested later on. Therefore; more attention must be directed towards increasing seed yield with good quality. Successful production of okra seed is conditional to certain agricultural practices. Sowing date has a great impact on seed yield and quality of okra (Singh et al., 1986; Hossain et al., 1999; Yadav and Dhankar 2001; Moniruzzaman et al., 2007). Due to change in agroclimatic conditions, periodic evaluation of planting dates is of urgent need. Ariyo (1987) evaluated sowing 15 okra genotypes in 5 different environments and the results showed a significant environmental effect for all studied characters. Olasantan and Olowe (2006) reported that sowing dates significantly affected on vegetative growth, flowering, fruiting and harvesting stages. The result showed that early sowings enhanced earliness to maturity and increased pod yield by 2 - 8% in mixed stands while late sowings did so in monoculture.

Plant density is one of the most important agronomic practices that affect okra seed production, it has been found to have an enhancing influence on growth characters, yielding ability and quality of seed (Zanin and Kimoto, 1980; Rastogi et al., 1987; Sarniak et al., 1986; Naik and Srinivas, 1992 ; Khan and Jaiswal, 1998 and Feleafel and Ghoneim, 2005). Absar and Siddique (1982) noted that plant density is another important factor that affects okra seed production. Suitable plant spacing can lead to optimum seed yield while too high or low plant spacing could result to relatively low yield and quality. So, optimum plant spacing can lead to optimum seed yield. (Thakur and Arora, 1986 and Bin-Ishaq, 2009). Generally, increase in planting density results in increased yield per unit area till a certain limit (Weiner, 1990). According to Mangual and Martin (1980) and Baruah (1995), the optimal population density for high yielding okra cultivars is 6-8 plants m.⁻².

Fertilization, in general and particularly with phosphorus, is considered one of the major factors that greatly affect seed yield and quality of okra (Gupta et al., 1981; Mohanta, 1998; Sadat, 2000). The response of okra to phosphorus fertilizer levels was studied by Majanbu et al. (1985), Arora et al. (1994) and Lenka et al. (1989), who reported that plant height, number of fruits, fruit size and total green fruit yield were significantly improved by application of phosphorus from 0-60 kg P₂O₅/ha. El-Maziny et al. (1990) and Naik and Srinivas (1994) found that fruit length, number of fruits/plant, number of seeds/fruit and 1000-seed weight recorded the highest mean values with the highest phosphorus rate. The same general trend was found by Naik and Singh (1999), Amjad et al. (2001), Chattopahyay and Sahana (2001), Patton et al. (2002), Singh (2002) and El-Shaikh (2005).

Hence, among the several factors affecting seed yield of okra, nutrition especially phosphorus, sowing date and planting density play an important role in okra seed production and need to be studied more precisely. Therefore, the present study was initiated to find out the optimum sowing date, planting density and level of phosphorus for achieving the highest seed production with good quality in okra plants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This work was carried out at Disuq district, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate, during the summer seasons of 2012 and 2013. Two field experiments were conducted to clarify the effects of phosphorus fertilizer levels, under different plant density and sowing date, on vegetative growth and flowering traits, early and total pods yield and pod characteristics of okra. The soil in the experimental farm has a loamy clay texture (some properties of the experimental soil are presented in Table 1).

The average monthly temperature for the two years ranged from 19.9 and 29.3 °C to 20.9 and 30.4 °C, while the average relative humidity ranged from 79.68 and 53.09 % to 78.23 and 51.87 % for the two years (Table 2).

Great variations in plant vigor, earliness, productivity and pod characteristics are present in local cultivars of okra plants grown in Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate. Selection of individual plants based on earliness, high number of pods, uniformity of plant phenotype with moderate vegetative growth and uniformity of fruit color (pale green) and shape was carried out. Inbreeding and selection for chosen plants were carried out for six successive generations; the pollination technique method described by Lee (1980) was followed.

Sagara	Mech	anical a	nalysis	Tortuno	" 11*	EC**	ОМ	Availa	able ele (ppm)	ements
Seasons	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	- Texture	рп∝	dSm ⁻¹	(%)	Ν	Р	К
1 st	10.0	50	40.0	Loamy Clay	7.18	0.56	1.70	25	5.0	432
2^{nd}	9.5	51	39.5	Loamy Clay	7.9	0.70	1.68	23	5.5	419
* 1 . 2 5	1									

 Table 1: Some characteristics of the experimental soils.

* 1: 2.5 soil: water suspension.

** Soil past extract

Table	2: Meteorological	information	data i	for H	Kafr	El-Sheikh	Governorate	(March-September)	2012,
2013.									

Months	*Average Tempo (e monthly erature C)	**Av relative (%	erage humidity %)	Average Tempo (e monthly erature C)	Averag hun (e relative nidity %)
		1^{st}				2^{nd}		
	Maximum	Minimum	7.30h	13.30h	Maximum	Minimum	7.30h	13.30h
March	19.5	11.3	77.11	59.83	24.4	12.4	79.56	50.91
April	27.1 17.0 73.53 53.53 26.0				26.0	15.9	74.20	43.90
May	30.8	20.8	75.70	50.05	31.4	21.8	75.03	45.78
June	33.6	23.5	79.60	50.77	32.4	23.9	74.63	51.27
July	33.2	25.3	84.05	53.02	32.3	24.3	79.57	54.70
August	34.7	25.0	84.90	52.14	33.8	24.8	83.63	60.52
September	32.3	22.7	82.87	52.30	32.5	22.9	81.00	56.60

* Rice Research and Training Center (RRTC), Sakha Agriculture Research Station, Monthly weather observations.

** Average relative humidity (%), at 7.30 and 13.30 hour.

After six generations of inbreeding and selection, six strains were selected. The six selected strains as well as the original cultivars were evaluated during the summer season of 2006 at a private farm in Disuq district, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate. In isolation area, seeds of the best strain were produced in summer seasons of 2007 and 2008. The best strain was selected as a new cultivar of okra (Masoud *et al*, 2007). In the summer seasons of 2009 and 2010, the new cultivar of okra designated as Sakha -1 besides Sabahia -1 and Balady Green cultivars of okra were evaluated in the Experimental Farm of Sakha Agricultural Research Station (Metwally *et al*, 2011).

In the summer seasons of 2012 and 2013, the seeds of the new cultivar of okra; Sakha -1 were sown at three dates (March 15, April 15 and May 15) under four levels of phosphorus; i.e., 0, 15, 30 and 45 kg P₂O₅ fed.⁻¹. Phosphorus fertilizer, in the form of calcium super phosphate (15% P2O5) was applied to the soil as one dose before sowing. Seeds were sowing at three interplant spacings;15, 30 and 45 cm to represent three different plant densities of about 11.1, 5.5 and 3.6 plants m^2 , which equal to 44400, 22200 and 14400 plants fed.⁻¹, respectively. Each experiment unit included 36 treatments representing the combination of three sowing date, three planting density and four phosphorus levels. The experiments were conducted using split-split plot system in a randomized complete block design, with three replicates. The three sowing dates were assigned for the main plots, whereas the sub-plots were devoted for the plant density, and the phosphorus fertilizer levels were in the sub-sub plots. The experimental plot contained 6 ridges; each ridge was 4.5 m length and 0.6 m width, comprising an area of 16.2 m². The other recommended agricultural practices were used.

The following data were recorded:

1. Vegetative traits

Number of days from sowing to seedling emergence was recorded. After 120 days from sowing, five plants were uprooted from each plot and the following data were measured or counted: 1) Stem length (cm), 2) Number of nodes on main stem, 3) Internode length (cm), 4) Number of branches plant⁻¹, and 5) Number of leaves plant⁻¹.

2. Earliness traits

Earliness was recorded as number of nodes to the first flower and number of elapsed days from sowing to first flower anthesis.

3. Seed Yield and its components

At the end of each experiment, dry pods were picked and seeds were manually extracted. Seed yield plant⁻¹ and fed⁻¹, number of pods plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod⁻¹ and seed yield pod⁻¹ were recorded. Seed index (100 seeds weight, in gm), pod weight (g), pod length (cm), pod diameter (cm) and germination percentage were calculated.

Seed germination (%) was recorded from 36 days old dry pods as suggested by Anitha *et al.* (2001). For seed germination, seeds collected from middle position pods (5 to 8 nodes) were placed on filter paper (Whatman No.1) moistened with distilled water in 155 mm glass Petri dishes. Three replicates of 50 seeds from each sowing were kept in an incubator at 25°C. Germination of seeds was recorded up to 10 days and then evaluated according to ISTA (1985).

Statistical Analysis

Data of the studied characters were statistically analyzed, using the standard methods of the randomized complete blocks design, using M-stat-C software (1996), and Duncan's Multiple Range test was used for comparison among treatment means (Duncan, 1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION I. Vegetative and flowering traits

a. Effect of sowing date

The average daily maximum and minimum air temperatures as shown in Table 2, were decreased in March. It increases progressively till reached the maximum level in May. The emergence of the seedlings (Table 3) show that there was significant difference among sowing dates. The late sowing date (middle of May) enhanced the emergence of the seedlings more than the early one (March 15). The late of the sowing, the fast was the emergency of the seedling.

Data presented in Table (3) show that sowing date in mid-April recorded the highest mean values of stem length, number of branches plant⁻¹, number of leaves plant⁻¹ and number of nodes on main stem in comparison with the other sowing dates in mid-March or/and mid-May, in both seasons. On the other hand, the late sowing date (mid-May) was statistically responsible for the earliness in flowering than the other sowing dates as it need fewer days to flowering (48.5 days as an average of two seasons). Such significant differences among the sowing date in the vegetative and flowering traits could be attributed to air temperatures difference (Table 2). Ahmed (2007) reported that the effect of sowing date on growth and yield depends mainly on the prevailing environmental conditions especially temperature and relative humidity. Perkins et al. (1952) suggested that environmental factors could explain the delicate balance between vegetative growth and reproductive growth in okra. Pundarkia et al. (1972), in India, showed that the maximum temperature and sun shine hours affected okra initial growth and flower production. Several studies showed that sowing date significantly affected crop growth and flowering which was mainly attributed to influences of temperature (Khalifa, 1981, Yadev and Dhankhar, 1999, Incalaterra et al., 2000, Sharif et al. 2003, Chattopadhyay et al. 2011 and Ossom et al. 2011.

Olasantan and Olowe (2006) reported that sowing dates significantly affected phenology (time to vegetative growth, flowering, fruiting and harvesting stages).

Okra plants which bear the first flower on a lower node, and need fewer days to flowering will be earlier than those bearing the first flower on a higher node and need more days to flowering (Metwally *et al.* 1988 and 2011).

b. Effect of plant density

Data in Table (3) showed that stem length, number of branches plant⁻¹, number of leaves plant⁻ and number of nodes on main stem, were, significantly, increased as the plant density was decreased, in both seasons. Also, the low plant population had the lowest number of nodes to the first flower (3.7 and 3.6), while, high plant density had the highest number of nodes to the first flower (4.2 and 3.9). Likewise, lowering the plant density (wide plant spacing) resulted in the lowest number of days from sowing to flowering (48.8 and 48.2) in 2012 and 2013, respectively. The wider spacing was the best choice for better vegetative growth. Such results might be expected on the assumption that competition among the growing plants for nutrition and light intensity would be more in the case of high plant densities. Accordingly, the less available nutrients under the conditions of high plant density would not allow for excessive rates of photosynthesis and accumulation of stored food in the leaves of okra plants. Also, under high plant density, the low light intensity seemed to encourage somewhat the stem elongation of okra plants (Feleafel and Ghoneim, 2005). The obtained results seemed to be in close agreements with those recorded by El-Maziny et. al. (1990), Farag and Damarany (1994) and Bin-Ishaq (2009), who indicated that the wider spacing between plants enhanced number of branches plant⁻¹.

c. Effect of phosphorus fertilizer levels

Data presented in Table (3) show that all growth parameters were significantly affected by increasing rate of phosphorus fertilization, in both growing seasons. The highest phosphorus rate (45 kg P_2O_5 fed.⁻¹) gave the tallest plants and the highest number of branches plant⁻¹, number of leaves plant⁻¹ and number of nodes on main stem. However, unfertilized treatment (control) or the low phosphorus rate (15 kg P_2O_5 fed.⁻¹) recorded the lowest mean values of each character. It is obvious from Table (3) that the highest phosphorus fertilization rate, significantly, caused earlier flowering than the other phosphorus rates. Furthermore, the highest phosphorus fertilization rate initiated the first flower to bear at lower number of nodes (3.8 and 3.5) in 2012 and 2013, respectively. Many researchers proved the importance of phosphorus in plant vegetative growth and flowering such as Salih (1981), Patton

et al. (2002), El-Shaikh (2005), Omotoso and Shituu (2007), El-Shaikh and Mohammed (2009) and Firoz (2009).

d. Effect of sowing date and plant density interaction

Data presented in Table (4) show that the interaction between sowing dates and plant density significantly affected number of days to emergence, stem length, number of branches plant⁻¹, number of leaves plant⁻¹ and number of nodes on main stem, in both seasons. However, the internode length and number of nodes to the first flower was significantly affected in the first seasons of 2012 and 2013, respectively. Sowing okra seeds in mid-April with low plant density (14400 plants fed.⁻¹) exhibited the highest mean values of stem length, number of branches plant⁻¹, number of leaves plant⁻¹ and number of nodes on main stem. On the other hand, the late sowing date (mid-May) and low plant density accelerated the earliness as it gave lowest number of days from sowing to flowering and lowest number of nodes to the first flower than the other treatments. In this respect, Palanisamy et al. (1986), Singh et al. (1986), Amjad et al. (2001), Olasantan and Olowe (2006), Chattopadhyay et al. (2011) and Nagwa (2012) reported that there was a significant effect of sowing time and plant density interaction on vegetative and flowering traits of okra plants.

e. Effect of sowing date and phosphorus fertilizer levels interaction

Data tabulated in Table (5) show that the interaction between sowing date and phosphorus rates had highly significant effect on stem length, number of branches plant⁻¹, number of leaves plant⁻ , number of nodes on main stem and, internode length, in both seasons, and number of days from sowing to flowering, in the second season only. The intermediate sowing date in mid-April and highest phosphorus fertilization rate (45 kg P_2O_5 fed.⁻¹) gave the highest mean values of stem length, number of branches plant⁻¹, number of leaves plant⁻¹ and number of nodes on main stem, in both seasons. Meanwhile, the late sowing date in mid-May and application of 45 kg P_2O_5 fed.⁻¹ resulted in the lowest number of days from sowing to flowering in the second season. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Olasantan and Olowe, (2006), Ahmed (2007) and Chattopadhyay et al. (2011).

f. Effect of plant density and phosphorus fertilizer levels interaction

Data in Table (6) show that the interaction between plant density and phosphorus rates reflected significant effect on stem length, number of branches plant⁻¹, number of leaves plant⁻¹, number of nodes on main stem and number of nodes to the first flower and number of days from sowing to flowering ,in the second season.

	Trans Que uno In 1	ומזור ח	Anona	and min			Vorati	tive trai	ts						HOWERIE	g traits	
0.4	atmonte						Veger	מוואר נו מ	23				1 41	N'adao 4	o finct	Dave	sto
311	aununus				1 an ath	No of h	sourches	No. of	leaves	No. of n	odes on	Internod	e length	I sanon	O III O	fpg	
		No. of tc	days	Stem (c)	lengun m)	pla	nt ⁻¹	plai	nt ⁻¹	main	stem	(cr	(u	flow	/er	flowe	ring
		emerg	tence			10	pur	. st	pue	1 st	buc	1 st	pu2	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd
		1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	191	2	-	7	-	4 10	-	1 0	11	30	55.7 a	52.8 a
		0 1 0	000	7510	70.02	044	0.5	21.5 b	23.6 b	24.9 c	2 6. CZ	0.0	1.0			1007	10/ 1-
Sowing date	March 15	8.1.3	b C./	0 1.01		000	0 1	B C DC	3193	42.3 a	44.1 a	2.7	2.5	3.9	3.7	49.2 bc	48.0 ab
(A)	April 15	5.0 b	4.4 b	108.0 a	114.5 a	0.0 4	0.0	40 2 3C	de 8 7 C	33.6 h	35.1 b	2.8	2.9	3.8	3.6	48.8 c	48.2 b
	May 15	4.4 b	3.6 b	96.7 b	101./ 0	0.0 aD	0.0	4*	**	**	**	SN	NS	NS	NS	*	*
	F. test	*	*	*	*	×	SN		- 010	0110	2100	20.9	30.8	4.2 a	3.9 a	51.9 a	49.8 b
Plant density	44400 plants fed. ⁻¹	5.7 b	5.0 c	89.0 c	94.2 c	0.5 c	0.5 c	23.0 c	24.9 C	01.10	J C J C	1 L C	444	405	3.7 h	51.2 b	50.4 a
(D)	- 1- hants fed -1	5.9 a	5.1 b	93.3 b	97.5 b	0.7 b	0.7 b	25.2 b	27.2 b	33.8 D	0 0.00	01.7	11.7	01.0	360	50.6 C	49.4 c
(a)			0	0 2 20	102 2 9	083	103	27.8 a	31.2 a	35.9 a	37.9 a	7.10	0 1.7	2.1.0	20.0	20.00	de de
	14400 plants fed.	5.9 a	B 2.C	91.1 à	p C.CUI	0.0 4	***	**	**	**	**	**	* *	*	*	*	*
	F. test	*	*	*	*	44	J. J.		- 0.0	P 1 1 C	PICC	000	7 8 ah	413	3.8 a	51.8 a	50.5 a
Dhaenharite	0 kg P.O. fed -1	5.8 b	5.0 c	87.4 d	91.7 0	1 0.3 d	0.5 d	22.0 d	74.2 d	p 1.1 c	n 1.20	р С.7 а	0000	401	374	51.4 h	50.0 b
en ioudeour	1-1-2 COZ 1 SW 0	402	202	01 0	06 9 C	050	0.6 c	24.1 c	26.4 c	32.6 c	34.0 c	2.9 a	2.7 3	4.0 O	0.1.0		0 2 01
fertilizer	15 kg P ₂ O ₅ ted.	0 0.0	20.0	1010	1000	460	400	4696	188C	34.7 b	35.8 b	2.7 b	2.8 ab	3.9 c	3.6 c	21.0 C	49./ C
levels	30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	5.8 b	9.1 p	94.9 D	0 6.66	0.1.0	0.7.0	0000	2169	36.0.9	38.7.8	2.7 b	2.7 b	3.8 d	3.5 d	50.6 d	49.2 d
(C)	45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	5.9 a	5.2 a	98.8 a	104.8 a	0.9 a	1.1 a	27.U d	21.0 4	**	**	**	*	**	*	**	*
	F test	*	*	*	*	*	**	44		4.4	**	**	NIC	*	SN	**	*
	A v D	*	**	*	*	*	*	*	*	6				VIC	NIC	NIC	*
Interaction	AAD	0.0	UIV	**	**	**	**	**	**	*	*	*	**	CN	CNI	CNI	4.44
	AxC	SZ	NN		4.4	**	**	*	*	**	**	NS	*	*	*	NS	*
	BxC	NS	NS	* *	¢ ¢	4 ·		4.4.	**	*	*	SN	*	NS	NS	NS	*
	AXBXC	NS	NS	NS	*	*	*		at an i form	Dunconle	Multinle	Range Tes	t				

No. o No. o Sowing Plant density I^{u} date March 4400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 8.0 a 15 22200 plants fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 a April 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 a April 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 a April 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.3 e May 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.3 e May 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.3 e May 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.5 de Means designated by the same letter at t 4.4 de 6 Means designated by the same letter at t No. of 6 March 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 1 April 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 1 April 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 6 April 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 1	o. of days to emergence at 2 nd 0 a 7.2 b 2 a 7.3 b 1a 7.5 a	Stem			0								A TUTLE		
	^{at} 2 nd ^{at} 2 nd 0 a 7.2 b 2 a 7.3 b 1a 7.5 a		length	No. of b	ranches	No. of	leaves	No. of n	odes on	Internod	e length	Nodes t	0 first	Days to 1	lowering
Sowing Plant density I^{at} date March 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 8.0 a 15 22200 plants fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 a April 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 a April 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 a April 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.3 e May 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.3 e May 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.5 de Max 15 14400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.5 de Max 15 22200 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.5 de Max 15 0 kg p205 fed. ⁻¹ 4.5 de Max 15 0 kg p205 fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 March 15 0 kg p205 fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 March 15 0 kg p205 fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 April 15 0 kg p205 fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 May 15 0 kg p205 fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 May 15 0 kg p205 fed. ⁻¹ 5.1	^{at} 2 nd 0.a 7.2 b 2.a 7.3 b 1a 7.5 a	(c	(m)	plai	nt_1	plai	ut.	main	stem	(cu		flow	er		
March 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 8.0 a 15 22200 plants fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 a April 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 a April 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 b April 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 b May 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.1 c May 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.2 c Means designated by the same letter at 4.2 de Treatments No. of March 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 Manch 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 May 15 6 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 May 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 March 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 March 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 April 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 Mav 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1	0a 7.2b 2a 7.3b 1a 7.5a	I st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	I st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	131	2 nd	181	2 nd
15 22200 plants fed. ⁻¹ 8.2 a 8.1a April 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 a 8.1 b April 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 b May 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.1 c May 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.1 c May 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.2 c Means designated by the same letter at 4.5 d Treatments No. of March 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 March 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 March 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 March 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 March 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 March 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 March 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 Marv 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1	2a 7.3 b 1a 7.5 a	70.31	74.21	0.3 f	0.4 d	19.5 h	21.0 f	21.91	22.8 h	3.3 a	3.3	4.3 a	4.0	56.6 a	54.3 a
April 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 8.1a April 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 c 22200 plants fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 bc May 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 bc May 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.3 c May 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.4 c Means designated by the same letter at 4.5 dc Means designated by the same letter at 0.0 of April 15 0 kg P205 fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 March 15 0 kg P205 fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 April 15 0 kg P205 fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 April 15 0 kg P205 fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 Marv 15 0 kg P205 fed. ⁻¹ 5.1	la 7.5 a	74.9 h	78.5 h	0.4 c	0.5 c d	21.2 g	23.3 c	25.6 h	26.5 g	2.9 bc	3.0	4.2 ab	3.8	55.7 b	52.4 b
$\begin{array}{c cccc} April 15 & 44400 plants fed.^1 & 5.0 c \\ 22200 plants fed.^1 & 5.1 bc \\ 14400 plants fed.^1 & 5.1 bc \\ May 15 & 44400 plants fed.^1 & 4.4 c \\ 22200 plants fed.^1 & 4.4 c \\ 14400 plants fed.^1 & 4.5 dc \\ Means designated by the same letter at A \\ More 5: Effect of sowing date ann \\ Treatments & No. of \\ emet \\ Sowing Phosphorus & 14 \\ date fertilizer levels \\ March 15 & 0 kg P_{205} fed.^1 & 5.0 \\ April 15 & 0 kg P_{205} fed.^1 & 5.0 \\ April 15 & 0 kg P_{205} fed.^1 & 5.0 \\ 30 kg P_{205} fed.^1 & 5.0 \\ 30 kg P_{205} fed.^1 & 5.0 \\ April 15 & 0 kg P_{205} fed.^1 & 5.0 \\ April 20 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 1 \\ April 20 & 6 & 6 & 1 &$		80.2 g	84.4 g	0.6 c	0.7 c	23.6 f	26.6 d	27.2 g	28.4 f	2.9 bc	3.0	4.1 b	3.8	54.8 c	51.8 b
22200 plants fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 bc May 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 bc May 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.3 e May 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.3 e Max adesignated by the same letter at 4 4.4 e Means designated by the same letter at 4 4.5 de Means designated by the same letter at 4 4.5 de Means designated by the same letter at 4 4.5 de March 15 0 kg P205 fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 March 15 0 kg P205 fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 April 15 0 kg P205 fed. ⁻¹ 8.2 April 15 0 kg P205 fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 Mav 15 0 kg P205 fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 Mav 15 0 kg P205 fed. ⁻¹ 5.1	0c 4.3c	104.3 c	110.9 c	0.6 c	0.7 c	26.5 d	28.8 c	40.1 c	40.7 c	2.6 d	2.7	4.1 bc	3.8	49.8 d	49.0 cd
May 15 14400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 bc May 15 44400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.3 e 222200 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.5 de Means designated by the same letter at 4 4.5 de Means designated by the same letter at 4 4.6 de Treatments No. of March 15 0 kg P205 fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 March 15 0 kg P205 fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 April 15 0 kg P205 fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 April 15 0 kg P205 fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 30 kg P205 fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 3.1 April 15 0 kg P205 fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 Mar 15 0 kg P205 fed. ⁻¹ 5.1	1 bc 4.4 de	108.0 b	113.1 b	0.8 b	0.9 bc	29.2 b	31.0 b	42.1 b	44.0 b	2.6 d	2.3	4.0 cd	3.7	49.1 c	48.7 de
$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} May 15 & 44400 \ plants \ fed.^1 & 4.3 \ e \\ & 22200 \ plants \ fed.^1 & 4.5 \ de \\ \hline & 14400 \ plants \ fed.^1 & 4.5 \ de \\ \hline & Means \ designated by the same letter at (\\ & Mou of \\ \hline & \\ & \\$	I bc 4.5 cd	111.8 a	118.8 a	I.1 a	1.3 a	31.9 a	35.8 a	44.6 a	47.5 a	2.5 d	2.4	3.8 ef	3.6	48.7 f	48.1 de
22200 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.4 e 14400 plants fed. ⁻¹ 4.5 de Means designated by the same letter at a 4.5 de Treatments No. of Freatments No. of March 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 April 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 April 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 April 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.2 April 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 Marv 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 Marv 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 April 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0	3 e 3.5 h	92.3 f	97.6 f	0.5 d	0.6 cd	23.0.f	24.9 de	31.1 f	32.2 e	2.9 bc	3.0	3.9 de	3.7	49.3 c	48.6 de
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	te 3.6 gh	96.9 c	100.9 c	0.6 c	0.7 c	25.2 c	27.2 cd	33.9 c	35.3 d	2.8 c	2.9	3.8 cf	3.6	48.7 f	48.3 de
$\begin{tabular}{ l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l$	de 3.7 fg	101.0 d	106.7 d	0.8 b	1.0 b	27.8 c	31.2 b	35.9 d	37.8 d	2.8 c	2.8	3.7 f	3.5	48.3 g	47.7 c
No. of emer Sowing Phosphorus 1 ⁴¹ Bate fertilizer levels 1 March 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 8.1 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 8.1 April 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 5.0 April 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 Mav 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1	indexand man				Vegetativ	ve traits		L					Flower	ing traits	
Sowing Phosphorus 1 ⁴¹ Sowing Phosphorus 1 ⁴¹ March 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 March 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 8.1 15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 8.1 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 8.1 15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 8.1 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 8.2 April 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 Mav 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 4.4	of days to	Stem	enoth	No. of h	ranches	No. of	eaves	No. of n	odes on	Internod	e length	Nodes to	o first	Davs to 1	lowering
Sowing Phosphorus 1 ⁴¹ date fertilizer levels 1 March 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 8.1 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 8.1 45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 8.1 April 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 8.1 April 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 3.0 Mav 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 5.1	nergence	(cn	u)	plai	nt ⁻¹	plai	nt ⁻¹	main	stem	(cn	o (I	flow	er		0
date fertilizer levels March 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 8.1 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 8.1 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 8.1 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 8.1 45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.2 9.2 April 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 Mav 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1	st 2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	181	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	Ist	2 nd	1.84	2 nd
March 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 5 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 Mav 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1															
15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.2 April 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 Mav 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 4.4	.1 7.3	68.0 0	71.7 n	0.3 g	0.4 d	18.6 m	20.51	21.8 0	22.9 0	3.2 a	3.2 a	4.3	4.0	56.3	53.6 a
30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.1 45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.2 April 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 Mav 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 4.4	1 7.3	74.0 n	78.2 m	0.4 f	0.5 d	20.41	22.5 h	23.7 n	24.9 n	3.1 a	3.2 a	4.2	3.9	56.0	53.1 ab
45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 8.2 April 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 Mav 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 4.4	.1 7.3	77.0 m	80.81	0.5 e	0.6 cd	22.2 h	24.8 g	26.2 m	26.8 m	2.9 b	3.0 b	4.1	3.8	55.6	52.6 b
April 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 Mav 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 4.4	2 7.4	81.51	85.3 h	p.9.0	0.7 cd	24.6 g	26.8 f	27.91	29.11	2.9 b	2.9 b	4.0	3.8	54.9	52.1 b
15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.0 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 Mav 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 4.4	0 4.4	103.5 d	108.3 d	0.5 e	0.6 cd	25.4 f	27.9 f	40.3 d	40.9 d	2.7 de	2.5 d	4.1	3.8	49.9	49.1 cd
30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 Mav 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 4.4	0 4.4	106.9 c	112.2 c	0.6 d	0.7 cd	27.8 d	30.3 d	41.5 c	43.2 c	2.6 e	2.5 d	4.0	3.7	49.3	48.7 cd
45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 5.1 Mav 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 4.4	1 4.4	109.0 b	115.7 b	0.9 b	1.1 b	30.2 b	32.8 bc	43.2 b	44.9 bc	2.6 e	2.5 d	3.8	3.7	49.0	48.4 de
May 15 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 4.4	1 4.5	112.8 a	120.9 a	1.2 a	1.4 a	33.4 a	36.5 a	44.0 a	47.3 a	2.6 e	2.5 d	3.8	3.6	48.7	48.0 de
	4 3.6	90.8 h	95.1 g	0.3 g	0.5 d	22.0 h	24.2 gh	31.1 h	32.5 h	2.9 bc	2.7 c	3.9	3.8	49.3	48.7 cd
15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 4.4	4 3.6	95.4 g	100.2 f	0.5 e	0.6 cd	24.1 g	26.4 f	32.6 g	34.0 gh	2.9 bc	2.9 b	3.8	3.6	49.0	48.4 de
30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹ 4.3	3 3.6	98.6 f	103.3 e	0.7 c	0.9 b	26.2 e	28.8 ef	34.7 f	35.7 fg	2.8 cd	2.9 b	3.7	3.6	48.6	48.0 de
45 kg P,O, fed. ⁻¹ 4.5	5 3.7	102.2 e	108.2 d	0.9 b	1.1 b	29.0 c	31.6 cd	36.0 e	38.2 e	2.8 cd	2.9 b	3.7	3.5	48.2	47.6 e

Vol. 59, No. 1, pp.27-41, 2014

in arous	an interior of bigun	in future	deand n	INT ON TON	1 107111	ALL STA	Vegetati	ve traits	IVC allu	IIII	8 11 alto 0	I UNI a	Idute III 2	017 allu	Floweri	no traits	
L	reatments	No. of	days to	Stem	length	No. of b	ranches	No. of	leaves	No. of n	nodes on	Interno	le length	Nodes 1	to first	Davs to	flowering
		emer	rgence	(c	m)	pla	nt ⁻¹	plai	lt ⁻¹	main	stem) (c	m) 0	flow	ver		D
Plant density	Phosphorus fertilizer levels	1 st	2 nd	l st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	l st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd
44400	0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	5.8	5.0	82.1 n	86.5 m	0.2 g	0.3 d	20.0 g	21.7 f	28.3 h	28.0 f	3.0	2.9 b	4.4 a	4.0 a	52.5	51.0 a
plants	15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	5.8	5.0	86.9 m	92.7 hl	0.4 e	0.5 d	22.0 f	23.6 ef	29.7 g	30.9 e	3.0	3.1 a	4.3 a	3.9 ab	52.1	50.6 ab
fed. ⁻¹	30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	5.8	5.0	91.5 h	96.6 fg	0.5 d	0.6 cd	23.9 e	26.0 d	32.4 e	32.7 de	2.9	3.0 ab	4.1 b	3.8 b	51.7	50.2 ab
	45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	5.8	5.1	95.5 e	101.1 d	0.7 c	0.8 c	26.2 cd	28.3 c	33.8 d	36.0 b	2.9	3.0 ab	4.0 bc	3.7 bc	51.2	49.9 b
22200	0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	5.8	5.1	88.01	91.81	0.3 f	0.4 d	22.2 f	23.8 ef	31.1 f	32.5 de	2.9	2.9 b	4.1 b	3.8 b	51.7	50.6 ab
plants	15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	5.8	5.1	92.7 g	95.7g	0.5 d	0.6 cd	23.8 e	25.3 d	33.1 d	34.1 cd	2.8	2.7 c	4.0 bc	3.7 bc	51.3	50.1 ab
fed	30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	5.8	5.1	93.7 fg	98.6 e	0.7 c	0.9 bc	25.8 d	28.1 cd	35.0 c	36.5 bc	2.7	2.6 c	3.9 cd	3.7 bc	51.0	49.6 bc
	45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	0.9	5.2	98.7 c	103.7 c	0.9 b	1.0 bc	29.0 b	31.5 b	36.2 b	40.7 a	2.7	2.6 c	3.8 d	3.6 c	50.7	49.0 c
14400	0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	5.9	5.2	92.2 g	96.8 f	0.5 d	0.7 c	23.9 e	27.0 d	33.8 d	35.8 c	2.8	2.7 c	3.9 cd	3.7 bc	51.3	49.9 bc
plants	15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	5.9	5.2	96.7 de	102.3 c	0.7 c	0.8 c	26.6 cd	30.3 b	35.1 c	37.0 bc	2.8	2.8 bc	3.7 de	3.6 c	50.9	49.6 bc
fed	30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	5.9	5.2	99.4 b	104.5 bc	0.9 b	1.1 b	28.9 b	32.4 b	36.8 b	38.2 b	2.7	2.7 c	3.6 e	3.6 c	50.5	49.2 bc
	45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	6.0	5.3	102.3 a	109.6 a	1.1 a	1.4 a	31.8 a	35.1 a	37.8 a	40.7 a	2.7	2.7 c	3.6 e	3.5 c	49.8	48.9 c
Means desi	gnated by the same lo	etter at ea	ich columi	n are not si	gnificantly	different	t at the 0.0;	5 level, act	cording to	Duncan's	s Multiple J	Range Te	st.				

Reducing the plant density to 14400 plants fed.⁻¹ and raising the phosphorus fertilization to the highest rate (45 kg P_2O_5 fed.⁻¹) showed the highest mean values of stem length, number of branches plant⁻¹, number of leaves plant⁻¹, number of nodes on main stem and the lowest number of nodes to the first flower, in both seasons. Furthermore, this treatment produced accelerated the flowering, in the second season. The present results matched well those obtained by Salih (1981) and Amjad *et al.* (2001).

The comparisons among treatment combination means reflected clear significant differences among the three studied factors on stem length, number of branches plant⁻¹, number of leaves plant⁻¹ and number of nodes on main stem ,in both seasons, and internode length and lowest number of days from sowing to flowering, in the second season one.

II. Seed yield and its components

a. Effect of sowing date

Data in Table (7) indicate that the sowing date in mid-April, had highly significant increase in seed yield plant⁻¹, seed yield (kg) fed⁻¹,number of pods plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod⁻¹ and seed germination (%) as compared with sowing date in mid-March or /and mid-May, in both seasons. Seed index, pod weight, pod length and pod diameter, however, appeared not significant. Seed yield in okra is affected by three major yield components, i.e., number of pods plant⁻¹ and number of seeds pod⁻¹ In this concern, Chattopadhyay et al. (2011) found that seed yield was strongly affected by number of pods plant⁻¹ and number of seeds pod⁻¹ which was carried due to variety. These results are in harmony with thos e of Akinyele and Osekita (2006), Abduljabbar et al. (2007), Moniruzzamam et al. (2007) and Nagwa (2012) who worked on different sowing date of okra.

b. Effect of plant density

Data presented in Table (7) show that okra plants grown at high plant density (44400 plants fed.⁻¹) produced the highest seed yield, i.e., 1257.9 and 1617.5 kg fed.⁻¹ in the first and the second seasons, respectively. On the other hand, okra plants grown at low plant density (14400 plants fed.⁻¹) produced the highest mean values of seed yield plant⁻¹, number of pods plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod⁻¹, seed yield pod⁻¹, weight of 100-seeds, pod weight, pod length and seed germination (%), while, growing okra plants under high plant density produced the lowest values of the previous characters. The differences were highly significant, in both seasons. In this respect, many investigators reported that seed yield per feddan significantly increased as plant density increased. Saleh et al. (1980), on cowpea, found that less crowded plants led to higher seed yield per plant but lower seed yield per feddan. Zanin and Kimoto (1984), Sarnaik and Baghel (1986), El-Maziny et. al. (1990), Farag

and Damarany (1994) and Bin-Ishaq (2009) on okra, reported that the higher seed yield was obtained from high plant population per unit area.

c. Effect of phosphorus fertilizer levels

Data in Table (7) indicate that phosphorus fertilization with 45 kg P2O5 fed.⁻¹, significantly, increased seed yield plant⁻¹, seed yield fed.⁻¹, number of pods plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod⁻¹, seed yield pod⁻¹,weight of 100-seeds, pod weight, pod length and diameter and seed germination percentage, in the both seasons, as compared with 15 or 30 kg P₂O₅ fed.⁻¹. The obtained increments in the seed yield as a result of 45 kg P_2O_5 application might be directly attributed to the increase of pod number per plant, number of seeds per pod and weight of 100-seed. These results seemed to be in accordance with those reported by El-Maziny et al. (1990), Naik and Srinivas (1994), who found that seed yield of okra and its components, were significantly increased with increasing phosphorus rates. The same general trend was found by Naik and Singh (1999), Amjad et al. (2001), Chattopahyay and Sahana (2001), Patton et al. (2002), Singh (2002) and El-Shaikh (2005) who observed significant increases in seed yield with phosphorus application. El-Shaikh and Mohammed (2009) reported that the increase in seed yield may be related to the increments on number of pods plant⁻¹ rather than to increase in weight of seeds pod-). Moreover, Marschner (1995) mentioned that, phosphorus positively effect on metabolic processes including cell division, expansion and formation and movement of carbohydrate. Also, encouraging blooming, pod setting, fertility, weight of 1000seed, seed yield and germination percentage. These results in harmony with those found by Majanbu et al. (1985), Lenka et al. (1989), El-Shaikh (2005) and Firoz (2009).

d. Effect of sowing date and plant density interaction

Data presented in Table (8) show that the seed yield and its components were highly significant affected by the interaction between sowing date and plant density, in both seasons. It is clear that sowing date in mid-April produced the highest seed yield fed.⁻¹ under high plant density. On the other hand, sowing okra seeds in mid-April and 14400 plants fed.⁻¹ produced the highest seed yield plant⁻¹ number of pods plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod⁻¹, seed yield pod⁻¹, weight of 100-seeds, pod weight, pod length and seed germination percentage, in the both seasons. Meanwhile, the early sowing date (mid-March) which grown under low or high plant density produced the lowest seed yield, in both seasons. In this respect, Gupta et al. (1981), Singh et al. (1986), Hossain et al. (1999) and Nagwa (2012) reported that there was a significant effect of both sowing time and plant density interaction on seed vield of okra.

Sowing date		N0.	. of	Р	poc	P(po	Po	d l	No. of s	eed	Seed y	vield		Total	seed yie	Id	1	00 seed	Seed g	erminatio
Sowing date		od	spo	We	sight	le	ngth	diame	ster	Pod-	-	Pod	l ⁻¹ (g)	Seed y	ield	S	eed yield	-	Veight		(%)
Sowing date (A)		plant	f-1	(g	()		(m)	(cm)						plant ⁻¹	(g)	K	r fed1		(g)		8
Sowing date (A)		1 st	2 nd	l st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	l st 2	pu	l st	2 nd	I st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	l st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd
(A)	March 15	11.4 b 1	2.9 c	6.0	6.6	11.1	11.7	1.8 1	.9 53	.2 b 5	7.5 b	2.4	2.6	28.0 c	33.7 c	673.0	c 811.9	c 4.3	4.5	79.0 b	80.5 b
1-1	April 15	18.4 a 2	:0.9 a	7.5	8.1	12.7	13.1	2.1 2		.2 a 6	8.3 a	2.9	3.3	55.5 a	70.2 a	1337.4	a 1685.0	a 4.6	4.8	83.8 a	85.4 a
	May 15	13.9 ab 1	6.5 b	6.5	7.3	11.6	12.3	2.0 2	.0 60.	3 ab 6.	5.2 a	2.7	3.1	38.4 b	52.0 b	917.5	b 1254.7	b 4.5	4.7	83.6 a	84.7 ab
Ľ	test	*	**	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS N	IS	*	*	NS	NS	*	*	*	**	NS	NS	*	*
Plant 44.	400 plants fed. ⁻¹	11.8 c 1	3.8 c	5.4 c	6.0 c	10.8 c	11.4 c	1.9 2	.0 55	.6 c 5	8.8 c	2.3 c	2.6 c	28.3 c	36.4 c	1257.9	a 1617.5	a 4.1	c 4.4 c	81.1 c	81.9 c
density 22.	200 plants fed. ⁻¹	14.7 b 1	7.0 b (6.7 b	7.5 b	11.8 b	12.6 b	2.0 2	.1 59	.5 b 6.	3.8 b	2.7 b	3.0 b	41.3 b	53.0 b	916.4	b 1176.7	b 4.5 l	b 4.8 b	82.3 b	83.4 b
(B) 14-	400 plants fed.1	17.2 a 1	9.5 a	7.9 a	8.6 a	12.8 a	13.3 a	2.0 2	.2 61	.6 a 6	8.4 a	2.9 a	3.3 a	52.3 a	66.5 a	753.6	c 957.4 c	4.7	a 4.8 a	83.0 a	85.2 a
F.	test	**	**	*	**	*	*	NS N	IS *	*	* *	* *	*	*	**	*	**	* *	*	* *	* *
Phosphorus 0	kg P2O5 fed.1	11.2 d 1.	3.1 d (5.0 d	6.7 d	11.0 d	11.7 d	1.9 2.	.0 54	.2 d 5	7.8 d	2.3 d	2.6 d	27.0 d	35.6 d	653.2 (d 864.6	d 4.2	c 4.5 d	81.5 d	82.6 d
fertilizer 15	kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	13.3 c 1.	5.9 c (5.4 c	7.1 c	11.6 c	12.1 c	1.9 2.	.0 56	.1 c 6	1.7 c	2.5 c	2.9 c	34.0 c	47.3 c	830.2 (c 1125.9 c	5 4.4	0 4.6 c	81.9 c	83.2 c
levels 30	kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	15.9 b 1	7.9 b (5.8 b	7.4 b	12.0 b	12.6 b	2.0 2.	.1 59	.2 b 6:	5.6 b	2.7 b	3.0 b	44.4 b	55.9 b	1070.61	b 1348.51	0.4.6	a 4.7 b	82.4 b	83.8 b
(C) 45	kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	17.8 a 2	0.2 a	7.5 a	8.1 a	12.7 a	13.2 a	2.1 2.	.2 66	.la 69	9.6 a	3.1 a	3.3 a	57.2 a	69.0 a	1349.8	a 1663.2 i	a 4.6 i	a 4.9 a	82.8 a	84.5 a
F.1	est	*	**	*	*	*	*	NS N	S *	*	*	**	**	**	**	**	**	*	**	*	*
Interaction	AxB	*	**	*	*	* *	*	NS N	s *	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	**	*	*	*	*
	AxC	*	*	*	*	*	*	NS N	s,	*	*	* *	*	* *	*	**	* *	*	*	*	*
	BxC	*	*	*	*	*	* *	NS N	s *	*	*	*	*	*	*	* *	*	NS	*	* *	*
	AXBXC	*	*	*	*	*	*	NS N	s *	*	**	*	*	* *	*	**	**	*	NS	*	*

Alex. J. Agric. Res.

		plan		P.0d	weight	Pot	P	Po	I p	No. of set	pa	Seed yi	eld	To	tal seed y	ield	10	0 seed	S	bed
			Ę,	9	0	len (ci	m)	diame (cm)	eter	Pod-1		Pod ⁻		Seed yield		Seed yield	M	(o)	germi	nation
Sowing	g Plant density	I ^N	2 nd	Ist	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	I st 2	put	131 2	pu	181	2 nd	1st 2nd	131	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	181	2nd
Marc	h 44400 plants fed.	8.91	10.11	4.9 g	5.4 f	10.3 d	10.8 f	1.7 1	.8 50	.7 g 54	91 2	2 e 2	3 d	9.5 h 23.8	1 865.8	f 1055.5	f 4.0 c	4.3 b	77.9 h	79.3
15	22200 plants fed.	11.5 gh	13.0 h	5.9 c	6.6 d	11.2 cd	p 6.11	1.8 1	.9 53	.0f 57.	1 h 2.	5d 2	.6 cd 2	28.6 f 34.0	h 634.0	h 755.1	h 4.4 c	4.7 ab	79.2 8	80.2
	14400 plants fed.	13.9 f	15.5 f	7.1 c	7.7 c	11.8 c	12.5 c	1.9 2	0.56	.0 c 60.	7f 2.	6 cd 2	.8 c 3	6.1 c 43.4	f 519.3	1 625.1	1 4.5 c	4.6 h	79.8 f	818
April I.	5 44400 plants fed. ¹	15.5 d	17.3 d	6.0 e	6.6 d	11.4 cd	D 6.11	2.1 2	.1 59	.2 d 62.	8 e 2.	5 d 2	8 c 3	9.2 d 48.4	e 1741.1	a 2149.4	a 42 d	454	87 9 de	5 28
	22200 plants fed. ⁻¹	18.4 b	21.4 b	7.8 b	8.4 b	12.8 b	13.2 b	2.1 2	.2 64	.1 b 69.	0 c 3.	0 b 3.	4 ab 5	6.2 h 73.3	h 1246.6	h 16275	c 47h	49.94	84 0 Pc	653
	14400 plants fed. ⁻¹	21.4 a	24.1 a	8.7 a	9.3 a	13.9 a	14.3 a	2.2 2	.3 66	.4 a 73.	la 3.	3 a 3	.6a 7	1.2 a 88.8	a 1024.6	d 1278.1	4 4 9 a	5.0.3	8469	5 7 5
May 1:	5 44400 plants fed. ¹	11.2 h	13.9 g	5.3 f	5.8 c	10.6 d	11.4 c	2.0 2	.0 57	.0 e 58.	9 g 2.	3 e 2	6 cd 2	6.3 g 37.1	g 1166.6	c 1647.5	b 42d	444	82.6.6	83.0
	22200 plants fed. ⁻¹	14.3 cf 1	6.5 c	6.4 d	7.4 c	11.5 c	12.6 c	2.1 2	.1 61	5 c 65.	4 d 2.	7 c 3.	1 bc 3	9.1 d 51.7	d 868.7	of 1147.6	e 46h	47 ah	83.8 0	848
	14400 plants fed. ¹	16.3cd 1	9.1 c	7.8 b	8.7 b	12.7 b 1	13.1 b	2.1 2	.2 62	5 c 71.	4 b 2.	9 b 3	5a 4	9.8 c 67.3	c 717.1 s	0.696	e 4.6 be	c 4.9 ab	84.5 a	86.31
F	Pantenante	No. of	spods	w bod	eight	Pod		Pod	Z	o. of see	s p	eed yie	p	Tot	al seed yi	eld	100	seed	Se	pa
	r caunents	piant		(8)		(cm	t e	(cm)	L.	Pod.		Pod ⁻¹		Seed yield	S X	eed yield	W	eight (o)	germin	ation
Sowing	Phosphorus	18	2nd	1 ⁵¹	2 nd	1 31	2 nd	2 2	I ps	st 2 ⁿ	P	51 2	pu	1 st 2 nd	121	2nd	151	2nd	181	2nd
date	fertilizer levels																			
Aarch 15	5 0 kg P2O5 fed.	8.7 h 10	5 10.0	5.41 6	5.0 g 1	0.3 g 1.	1.0 g 1	.7 1.	8 48.	21 51.9	m 2.	2 f 2.	3 c 19	.4 m 23.61	n 470.7	0 567.7 c	4.1 d	4.4 b	78.3h	79.6
	15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed.	10.6 g 12	2.4 h 5	7 hl 6	5.4 f 1	0.9 f 1	1.6f 1	.8	9 51.	1 h 55.5	51 2.3	ef 2.	4 c 24	4.51 30.71	n 586.9	n 737.6 n	4.4 c	4.5 b	78.7 gh	80.11
	50 kg P ₂ O ₅ ted.	12.3 1 13	0 800	.1 fg 6	1.7 ef 1	1.4 c 1.	2.0 e 1	.8	9 54.	I g 59.2	h 2.4	1 c 2.	5 c 36	0.0 h 35.3 l	720.3	I 844.7 n	n 4.4 c	4.6 b	79.3 f	80.7
A 1111 1 2	45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed.	14.0 e 15	5.6f 6	6 de 7	.2 d 1	1.8 d 1.	2.3 c 1	.9 2.	0 59.	5 c 63.6	f 2.	7 cd 2.	9 bc 38	8.2 f 45.4 ł	914.2	f 1097.8	4.4 c	4.7 b	79.6 cf	81.4
cr indv	UKg P2O5 led.	14.1 6 10	0 0 0 0	1 D/.	11 D 4.	./ de 1.	2.2 c 2	.0 2.1	0 57.	9f 62.3	8 2.	5 de 2.) bc 35	5.6 g 48.51	864.3 5	1176.51	.4.3 c	4.6 b	83.2 d	84.5
	15 kg P ₂ O ₅ ted.	17.2 c 20).2 c 7	30	.9 c 1	2.3 b 12	2.7 d 2	.1 2.	2 60.1	de 66.1	e 2.1	r cd 3.	2 b 46	5.7 d 64.8 d	1 1146.9 (I 1546.4 c	I 4.5 bc	4.7 b	83.5 cd	85.1
	Ac Lo D O carl	77 9 7.07	2.4 b 7	8 0 8	9 2 9	3.1 b 1.	3.5 b 2	20	3 64.	I c 70.7	c 3.1	b 3.	5 a 62	2.7 b 76.4 t	1509.3 }	1829.61	4.7 ab	4.8 ab	84.1 a	85.7 t
Mare 15	40 Kg F2Us led.	67 B 1.77	010 8	-7 a 9	1 B 4 1	5.0 a 14	4.1 a 2	2 2.	3 70.	8 a 74.1	a 3.4	ta 3.	7 a 77	7.0 a 91.0 a	1829.3 8	1 2187.5 8	4.8 a	5.1 a	84.5 a	86.48
CI KPIN	I K Lo D O GA -I	21 2001	C 116.	20.0	1 10.0	0.81 1	1.81 2	.0 2.0	0 56.	5f 59.2	h 2.4	e 2.	7 c 2	5.8 34.81	624.6 n	n 849.71	4.3 c	4.5 b	82.9 d	83.7 6
	20 Lo D O 64 -1	CI 1771	0 10.0	10	A d L	1.4 6 1.	2.1 c 2	.0 2.0	0 57.	2 f 63.5	f 2.	de 3.(1 bc 30	0.8 h 46.4 g	n 756.8 h	1093.61	4.4 c	4.6 b	83.5 c	84.7 c
	Ac La D O fad -1	11 0 7.0	0		11 D +-	71 ap 0-	-4 dc -2	7	1.46	0 C 00.9	de 2.4	d 3.1	bc 40	.4 e 56.2 e	982.4 c	1371.1 e	4.5 bc	4.7 b	83.9 b	85.0 c

		No. of	spod	Pod	weight	P	po	H	poc	No. of s	eed	Seed y	rield		Total	seed yield	F	100	seed	S	ed
T	reatments	plant	7	(8	()	le	ength (cm)	diar	neter	Pod	1	Pod	⁻¹ (g)	Seed	yield	See	d yield	Me	sight (a)	germi.	nation
Plant	Phosphorus	1 st	2 nd	lst	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd	l st	2 nd	1 st	2 nd								
density	fertilizer levels																				
44400	0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	9.0 h 1	0.5 1	5.1 m	5.4 h	9.9 m	10.81	1.8	1.9	51.8 m 5	4.21	2.1 g	2.4 c	19.3 0	25.4 n	858.5 g	1128.8 g	3.9	4.3 b	80.5 h	81.21
plants	15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	10.7 g 1.	2.9 h	5.3 lm	5.7 gh	10.61	11.1 hl	1.9	1.9	54.21 5	7.5 h	2.3 f	2.5 c	25.3 n	32.5 m	1124.2 d	1444.2 d	4.2	4.3 b	80.9 gh	81.7 h
fed1	30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	13.0 e 1.	4.5 g	5.5 hl	5.9 g	11.0 h	11.6 g	1.9	2.0	56.8 fg 6	0.3 g	2.4 f	2.7 c	31.51	39.4 h	1396.4 b	1747.3 b	4.2	4.4 b	81.4 f	82.1 g
	45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	14.7 de 1	7.2 c	5.8 gh	6.6 fg	11.5 g	11.9 fg	2.0	2.1	59.7 d 6.	3.2 e	2.5 ef	2.8 bc	37.2 g	48.4 g	1652.3 a	2149.6 a	4.3	4.6 b	81.7 ef	82.8 f
22200	0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	11.3 fg 13	3.8 gh	5.9 fg	6.8 f	11.0 h	11.9 f	1.9	2.0	54.9 hl 5'	7.5 h	2.4 f	2.6 c	27.6 m	37.31	612.6 n	827.6 m	4.3	4.6 b	81.6 ef	82.3 g
plants	15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	13.2 c 1	5.9 f	6.4 c	7.2 e	11.6 f	12.4 de	2.0	2.1	56.5fg 6.	2.0 f	2.5 ef	2.9 bc	33.5 h	46.2 c	743.01	1025.3 h	4.5	4.7 ab	82.1 d	83.1 f
fed	30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	16.2 c 1	8.2 d	6.8 d	7.7 d	12.1 e	12.7 d	2.0	2.1	58.9 de 6:	5.2 d	2.7 d	3.1 b	45.0 d	57.4 d	999.5 f	1275.5 e	4.7	4.8 ab	82.6 bc	83.8 e
	45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	18.1 b 2	0.0 c	7.7 c	8.3 c	12.6 cd	13.2 c	2.1	2.2	67.8 b 7	0.6 c	3.2 b	3.5 ab	59.0 b	71.1 b	1310.5 c	1578.5 c	4.7	5.0 a	83.0 b	84.4 d
14400	0 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	13.4 e 15	5.1 fg	p 6.9	7.8 d	11.9 ef	12.3 e	2.0	2.0 5	55.9 gh 6	1.8 f	2.5 e	2.9 bc	33.9 f	44.2 f	488.4 0	637.3 n	4.4	4.6 b	82.3 cd	84.2 d
plants	15 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	16.1 c 1	8.8 d	7.6 c	8.4 c	12.4 de	12.9 cd	2.0	2.1	57.7 ef 6:	5.5 d	2.7 d	3.3 ab	43.3 e	63.1 c	623.4 m	908.11	4.6	4.8 ab	82.7 bc	84.9 c
fed1	30 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	18.6 b 20).9 bc	8.0 b	8.6 bc	13.0 b	13.5 bc	2.1	2.2	62.1 c 71	.3 bc .	3.0 c	3.3 ab	56.7 c	71.1 b	816.0 h	1022.7 h	4.8	4.9 ab	83.2 a	85.5 b
	45 kg P ₂ O ₅ fed. ⁻¹	20.7 a 2.	3.3 a	8.8 a	9.4 a	13.9 a	14.4 a	2.1	2.3	70.8 a 7:	5.1 a	3.5 a	3.7 a	75.5 a	87.6 a	1086.7 e	1261.5 f	4.8	5.0 a	83.6 a	86.2 a

plant	
kra	
s of c	
onents	
comp	
l yield	
l see	
eld and	
seed yi	
cristics, s	
characte	
pods o	
ature	
on m	
eraction	
s inte	
level	
ertilizer	
osphorus 1	
ld bu	
isity a	
nt der	00000
f plai	4 60
Effect o	11 Due
le 10:]	
Tab	

Means designated by the same letter at each column are not significantly different at the 0.05 level, according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Data presented in Table (9) show that the productivity of okra plants were affected by sowing date and phosphorus fertilizer levels interaction, in both seasons. It is clear that the intermediate sowing date (mid-April) and the highest phosphorus (45 kg P_2O_5 fed.⁻¹) produced the highest values of seed yield plant⁻¹, seed yield fed.⁻¹, number of pod plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod⁻¹, seed yield pod⁻¹, weight of 100–seed, pod length and seed germination percentage. However, sowing okra seeds too early in mid-March and 15 or 30 kg P_2O_5 fed.⁻¹ produced the lowest seed yield and its components, in both seasons. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Abduljabbar *et al.* (2007) and Amjad *et al.* (2001).

f. Effect of plant density and phosphorus fertilizer levels interaction

Data presented in Table (10) show that seed yield plant.⁻¹, seed yield fed.⁻¹, number of pod plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod⁻¹, seed yield pod⁻¹, weight of 100-seed, pod weight, pod length and seed germination percentage were significantly affected by the interaction between the plant density and phosphorus fertilizer levels, in both seasons. Plants grown under the highest density with the highest P₂O₅ fertilizer level produced the highest seed yield (1652.3 and 2149.6 kg fed.⁻¹) in the first and the second seasons, respectively. On the other hand, plants grown under low density with high P₂O₅ fertilizer level, produced the highest seed yield plant⁻¹, number of pod plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod⁻¹, seed yield pod⁻¹, weight of 100-seed, pod weight, pod length and seed germination percentage in the first and second seasons, respectively. On the other extreme, plants grown under high density with low P₂O₅ fertilizer level produced the lowest seed yield and its components, in both seasons. In this respect, Salih (1981), Dwievedi et al. (1993) and Amjad et al. (2001) found that there were significant interactions between plant density and phosphorus levels on seed yield and its components of okra plants.

The interaction among the three factors affected significantly seed yield plant⁻¹, seed yield fed.⁻¹, number of pods plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod⁻¹, seed yield pod⁻¹, weight of 100-seeds, pod weight, pod length and seed germination percentage, in both seasons., as average of seed yield plant⁻¹, seed yield fed⁻¹, number of pods plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod⁻¹, seed yield fed⁻¹, number of pods plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod⁻¹, seed yield fed⁻¹, number of pods plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod⁻¹, seed yield fed⁻¹, number of pods plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod⁻¹, pod length, weight of 100–seeds.

In view of the obtained results, it could be concluded that for obtaining the highest seed yield from new okra cv. Sakha -1 (under registration), sowing seeds in mid-April under high plant density (44400 plants fed.⁻¹⁾ and application of phosphorus fertilizer rate at 45 kg P_2O_5 fed.⁻¹.

REFERENCES

- Abduljabbar, I.M.; I.H. Abduljabbar and H. S. shukri allh (2007). Effect of sowing date, topping and some growth regulators on growth, pod and seeds yield of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L). Moench). African Crop Science Conference Proceedings Vol. 8. pp.473-478.
- Absar, N. and M.A. Siddique (1982). Influence of plant density on the yield of three varieties of okra. Bangladesh J. Agric. 7 (3-4):15-21.
- Ahmed, A.A. (2007). Effect of sowing date on seed yield and quality of two okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (*L.*) *Meonch*) cultivars.M.Sc. Thesis. Faculty of Agric. Univ. of Sudan.
- Akinyele, B.O. and O.S. Osekita (2006). Correlation and path coefficient analyses of seed yield attributes in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). Afr. J. Bio., 5: 13330-1336.
- Amjad, M.; A. Muhammed and A. Ali (2001). Effect of phosphorus and planting density on seed production of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus Moench*). J. of Agric. Bio. 3(4): 380 - 383.
- Anitha, P.; Sadhankumar, P.G. and S. Rajan (2001). Effect of maturity stages on seed quality of okra. Vegetable Sci. 28: 76-77.
- Ariyo, O.J. (1987). Stability of performance of okra as influenced by planting date. Theor. Appl. Genet. 74, 83-86.
- Arora, S.K.; D.K. Das and B.R. Sharma (1994). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization on growth and yield components in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). Haryana J. Hort. Sci., 20(3-4): 261-266. (CAB Abst. 1990-1995).
- Baruah, G.K.S. (1995). Effect of varieties and plant spacing on seed yield of okra. Hort. J., 8: 119– 24.
- Bin-Ishaq, M. S. (2009). Effect of plant density and nitrogen fetilization on vegetative growth, seed yield and quality of okra plants. Alandalus For Social and Applied Sci. Vol. (2) Issue (4): 43-57.
- Chattopadhyay, A.; S. Dutta1 and S. Chatterjee (2011). Seed yield and quality of okra as influenced by sowing dates. African J. of Biotec., 10(28): 5461-5467.
- Chattopadhyay, A. and B.C. Sahana (2001). Response of okra seed crop to nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization in acidic soil of old Alluvial Zone, West Bengal. Res. Crops, 1(2): 176-180.
- Duncan, B.D. (1955). Multiple ranges and multiple F-test. Biometrics, 11:1-42.
- Dwievedi, Y.C.; S.K. Sengupta; A.K. Naidu and P.C. Choubey (1993). Effect of N and P on growth and seed yield of okra. Jnkvv Res. J., 27: 53–5.

- El-Maziny, M.Y.; M.A. Hassan and M.M. Farrag (1990). Effect of plant density and NPK rate on okra. Minia J. Agric. Res. Dev., 12(1): 283-297.
- El-Shaikh, K.A. (2005). Effect of phosphorus and potassium fertilization on green yield, seed production and quality of two okra cultivars in reclaimed soils. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 36(1): 51-68.
- El-Shaikh, K.A. and M.S. Mohammed (2009). Enhancing fresh and seed yield of okra reducing chemical phosphorus fertilizer via using VA-Mycorrhizal inoculants. World J. Agric. Sci., 5(S): 810-818.
- Farag, I.A. And A.M. Damarany (1994). An evaluation of growth, yield and quality of some okra cultivars and strains under Assiut /conditions. Assuit J. Agric. Sci. 25: 57-70.
- Feleafel, M.N. and I.M. Ghoneim (2005). Effect of plant density and nitrogen fertilization on vegetative growth, seed yield and quality of okra plants. J. A gric. & Env. Sci. Alex. Univ., Egypt. 4(2):24-34.
- Firoz, Z.A. (2009). Impact of nitrogen and phosphorus on the growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) in hill slope condition. Bangladesh J. Agril. Res. 34(4): 713-722.
- Gupta, A., K. Srinivas and V. Shukla (1981). Response of okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L. Moench) to plant spacing and nitrogen, phosphorus fertilization. Indian J. Hort. Sci. 38 (3-4): 218-222.
- Hossain, M. D.; M. A. Salam; M. S. Islam and M. A. Masud (1999). Yield and quality of Okra (BARI Dherosh-1) seed as influenced by time of sowing and plant spacing. Bangladesh J. Seed Sci. Tech. 3 (1-2): 83-87.
- ISTA (International Seed Testing Association) (1985). International Rules for seed Testing. Rules (1985). Seed Sci. Tech. 13: 356-513.
- Incalceterra, G.; F. Vetrano; P. J. Stofella,; D.J. Canttliffe and G. Damato (2000). Effect of two sowing dates and plastic mulch on okra production. Acta Hort. 533: 329 336.
- Khalifa, F. M.(1981). Some factor influencing the development of Sun Flower (*Helianthus annus* (*L.*) Under dry farming system in Sudan. J. of Agric. Sci. Cambridge 97: 45-53.
- Khan, A.R. and R.C. Jaiswal (1998). Effect of nitrogen, plant spacing and green fruit pickings in the seed production of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus Moench*). Vegetable Sci. 15(1): 8 14.
- Lee, J.A. (1980). Cotton in: Fehr, W.R. and H.H. Hadley (eds.) Hybridization of crop plants. Amer. Soci. Agron. And Crop Sci. Soci. Amer., Publi. Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Lenka, P.C.; D.K. Das and H.N. Mishra (1989). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on seed yield of Bhindi. J. Agric. Res., 2: 127–9.
- Majanbu, I. S.; V. B. Ogunlela; M. K. Abmed and J. D. Olarewaju (1985). Response of two okra varieties to fertilizers, yield and yield components as influenced by nitrogen and phosphorus application. Fertilizer Res. 6 (3): 257-267.
- Mangual, G. and F.W. Martin (1980). Effect of spacing on seed, protein and oil production of four okra varieties. J. Agric. Univ. Puerto Rico, 64: 450–9.
- Marschner, (1995). Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. Academic press, London, pp: 889.
- Masoud, A.M.; Y.B. El-Waraky; T.A. Shalaby and M.H. Kasem (2007). Developing new strains of okra. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 32 (1): 583-590.
- Metwally, E.I; B.I. El-Sawy and R.M. Khalil (1988). Heterosis and nature of gene action studies on yield and related traits of okra *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L) Moench. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ. 18: 1088-1105.
- Metwally, E.I; A.M. Masoud; Y.B. El-Waraky and M.H. Kasem (2011). Sakha-1 new cultivar of okra. J. plant production, Mansoura Univ., 2(6): 787-795.
- Mohanta, H. C. (1998). Effect of phosphorus and genotypes on the yield and contributing characters in okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L. Moench). M S. Thesis, Dept. of Horticulture, IPSA, Salna, Gazipur.
- Moniruzzaman, M.; M. Z. Uddin and A. K. Choudhury (2007). Response of okra seed crop to sowing time and plant spacing in South Eastern hilly region of Bangladesh. Bangladesh J. Agril. Res. 32(3): 393-402.
- MSTAT-C. (1996). Russel, D. Freed, MSTAT Director, Crop and Soil Sciences Department, Michigan State University, U. S. A.
- Nagwa, M.A. (2012). Effect of sowing date and nitrogen fertilizer on vegetative and reproductive growth of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (*L.*)*Meonch.*). M.Sc. thesis Sudan Univ. of Sci. and Technology.
- Naik, L.B. and K. Srinivas (1994). Influence of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization on seed crop of okra (*Hibiscus esculentus* L.). Indian J.Agron., 37: 769–71.
- Naik, L. B. and R. V. Singh (1999). Response of okra (Abelmoschus esculentus) to nitrogen, phosphorus and spacing. Res. J. Birsa Agric. Univ. 11(1): 35-37.
- Olasantan, F. O. and V.I. Olowe (2006). Effect of sowing dates on respose of okra (*Abelmoshus esculentus*) to intercropping with contrasting cassava cultivars. International J. Tropical Agric. 24: 1 − 2.

- Omotoso, S.O. and O.S. Shittu (2007). Effect of NPK fertilizer rates and method of application on growth and yield of okra (*Abelmschus esculentus* (L.) Moench.). Res. J. Agron., 1(2): 84-87.
- Ossom, E.M. and V.N. Kunene (2011). Effect of planting date on seedling emergence and vigor of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Meonch)* in Swaziland. World J. of Agric. Sci. (7) **3**: 320-326.
- Patton, W.; A.Sema and C. Maiti (2002). Effect of different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth, flowering and yield of okra cv. Arka Anamika grown under the foothills. Hort. J., 15(1): 81-88.
- Perkins, D.Y.; J.C. Miller and S.L. Dallyn (1952). Influence of pod maturity on the vegetative and reproductive behavior of okra. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 60: 311-314. Picken, A. J. F. (1984).
- Pundarika, K. R.; H.K. Rap, and P.C. Moenak (1972). Effect of NPK content in plant maturity on yield and dry matter production of America cotton. Indian. J. Agric. Sci 42(8): 690-694.
- Rastogi, K. B.; P. P. Sharma; N. P. Sing and B. N. Korla (1987). Effect of different levels of nitrogen and plant spacing on seed yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Vegetable Sci. 14 (2): 120-123.
- Sadat, M. S. (2000). Studies on the effects of different levels of nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium on the growth yield and seed production of okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L.). M S. Thesis, Dept. of Hort., Bangladesh Agril. Univ., Mymensingh, Bangladesh.
- Salih, M.O. (1981). Some studies on the effect of nitrogenous, phosphatic fertilizers and population density on growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench*). M.Sc. thesis Univ of Khartoum.

- Sarnaik, D. A. and B. S. Baghel (1986). Response of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) seed crop to varying interrow and intrarow spacings. J. Farming Systems. 2(3-4): 13-15.
- Sharif, H.; A.B. wahab and M. Justur (2003). Comparative studies on the effect of sowing date and spacing on the growth and yield of okra in different years. J. of Bio. Sci. 3(12): 1173-1180.
- Singh, K.P.; Y.S. Malik,; S. Lal and M.L. Pandita (1986). Effects of planting dates and spacing on seed production of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L). Moench. Haryana J. Hort. Sci. 15(3-4): 267-271.
- Singh, R.V. (2002). Effect of intercrop and N, P fertilization on performance of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L). Moench.). J. Res., Birsa Agric. Univ., 13(1): 41-44.
- Thakur, M. R. and S. K. Arora (**1986**). Okra, In: Bose, T. K. and M. G. Som (ed) Vegetable Crops in India. Naya Prokash, Calcutta. pp. 610-618.
- Weiner, J. (1990). Plant population ecology in agriculture. In: C.R. Carrol, J.H. Vandermeer and P.M. Rosett (Eds.). Agrocology. McGraw Hill Book Company Inc., New York.
- Yadav, S.K. and B.S. Dhankar (1999). Performance of varsha uphar cultivars of okra as affected by sowing dates and plant phenology. Vegetable Sci. 26: 180 – 182.
- Yadav, S.K. and B.S. Dhankar (2001). Seed production and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) as affected by sowing time and position of fruit on plant. Seed Res. 29(1): 47-51.
- Zanin, A.C. and T. Kimoto (**1984**). Effect of plant spacing and fertilizers on okra seed production. Rev. Brasil. Sem., **2**: 105–12 (Hort. Abst. **54**: 161).

