

()
)
 () ()
 () ()
 () ()
 - - :

(g)
 2007 %5.9 1.45
 24.4
 () 2013
 (s)
 3 9.6
 %26.6
 2010) 3 36
 : (g) (2012 -
 1993 1987
 2007 1.78
 1.1
 ()

(2013-2000)

(1.1)

(NPC) Nominal protection coefficient

Effective protection coefficient

Domestic Resource

(EPC)

(DRC) Cost

(10)

:

(2013 – 2000)

:

:

-2000)

(2013

Policy

(PAM) analysis matrix

.(2013 – 2000) :1

100 =2000	()	100 = 2000	(/)	100 = 2000	()	
1.00	6.17	1.00	3.83	1.00	1.61	2000
0.85	5.23	1.02	3.90	0.83	1.34	2001
0.99	6.11	1.03	3.94	0.96	1.54	2002
1.00	6.18	1.07	4.09	0.94	1.51	2003
1.03	6.35	1.08	4.13	0.96	1.54	2004
0.99	6.12	1.10	4.20	0.91	1.46	2005
1.09	6.75	1.10	4.23	0.99	1.59	2006
1.11	6.86	1.07	4.11	1.11	1.78	2007
1.17	7.24	1.07	4.09	1.10	1.77	2008
0.89	5.52	1.05	4.03	0.85	1.37	2009
0.70	4.33	1.03	3.96	0.68	1.09	2010
0.92	5.67	1.05	4.02	0.88	1.41	2011
0.95	5.89	1.05	4.01	0.91	1.47	2012
0.93	5.72	1.05	4.03	0.88	1.42	2013
-	6.01	-	4.04	-	1.49	

.(2013-2000) :2

%	R ²	T	β	α		()
-0.74	0.07	-(-0.91)	-0.011	1.57	1.49	()
0.15	0.05	-(0.78)	0.006	4.00	4.04	(/)
-0.60	0.04	-(-0.72)	-0.036	6.28	6.01)

(1) :

.(2013 – 2011) :3

% ()	(/)	% ()	
0.11	6286	3.54	0.12 1777
14.54	837415	4.19	13.94 199865
9.81	564971	3.99	9.86 141283
20.06	1155574	3.95	20.43 292840
32.64	1879949	4.34	30.21 433014
4.27	245792	3.63	4.72 67727
15.65	901429	3.63	17.35 248691
0.29	16651	2.99	0.39 5560
1.40	80623	3.72	1.51 21701
0.05	3097	2.30	0.06 900
0.87	50258	3.38	1.04 14942
99.69	5742043	4.02	99.63 1428299
0.05	2693	3.38	0.05 784
0.05	2767	2.53	0.05 733
0.10	5460	3.60	0.11 1517
99.79	5747503	4.02	99.74 1429816
0.20	11581	3.30	0.24 3479
0.01	597	2.28	0.02 263
0.21	12178	3.23	0.26 3742
100.00	5759680	4.02	100.00 1433558

		:105			:177
	105			177	
45.4		%3.2		250.2	%17.5
6000				6000	
	272	%2.8	%15.5	1.50	
	%103.6	4.16		%100.7	4.05
%117	0.69			%113	0.67
		(4)	(4)		
		:102			:104
	102			104	
43.6		%		192.5	%13.4
	6000			6000	
%2.7					
	261.4			1.16	%12
		4.02		%103.5	4.16
0.67					
	%112			%116	0.69
	(4)		(4)		

:171

	171		
	7.3		:106
		%0.5	106
			18.4
	9000		%1.3
%0.68	65.5	6000	
		111	
	% 81.2	3.27	%1.1
	%61	0.36	%102.4
.(4)			4.11
		%115	0.69
		.178	(4)
			:
			.(-)

()									
%	2491476	114	0.68	6000	101.4	4.07	28.96	415246	101
25.75	2491476	114	0.68	6000	101.4	4.07	28.96	415246	101
2.70	261354	112	0.67	6000	99.9	4.02	3.04	43559	102
0.36	35164	106	0.63	6000	93.9	3.78	0.41	5861	103
11.94	1155122	116	0.69	6000	103.5	4.16	13.43	192520	104
2.81	272098	117	0.69	6000	103.6	4.16	3.16	45350	105
1.14	110626	115	0.69	6000	102.4	4.11	1.29	18438	106
0.03	2790	74	0.44	9000	98.3	3.95	0.02	310	170
0.68	65460	61	0.36	9000	81.2	3.27	0.51	7273	171
15.51	1500954	113	0.67	6000	100.7	4.05	17.45	250159	177
26.52	2565902	111	0.66	6000	98.9	3.98	29.83	427650	178
0.02	1744	135	0.80	6000	119.8	4.82	0.02	291	1
2.51	242763	71	0.42	9000	95.0	3.82	1.88	26974	
100	9677005	100	0.60	6750	100	4.02	100	1433630	

					:103
.1	105			103	
		:1		5.9	
	1				%0.41
	0.29			6000	
		%0.02			
	6000		%0.36	35.2	
%0.02		1.7			
				% 93.9	3.78
	% 119.8		4.82	%106	0.63
				.(4)	
	%135	0.80			
(4)					
				.1	105
					:170
		-		170	
		:		0.31	
					%0.02
12			9000		
	38	1984			
				2.8	
94					%0.03
	100	30	%98.3		3.95
		.(5)			
				%74	0.44
	3000		.(4)		

.(2000 -2013)					:5
91.2	0.36	615.3	441.9	1692.3	2000
105.1	0.42	709.3	432.1	1685.2	2001
145.6	0.56	983.0	446.7	1760.0	2002
313.0	1.03	2113.0	503.4	2059.0	2003
291.7	0.83	1969.0	574.6	2373.0	2004
318.4	0.88	2149.0	584.5	2455.0	2005
300.6	0.76	2029.0	628.4	2658.0	2006
449.0	0.99	3031.0	745.7	3065.0	2007
334.7	0.57	2259.0	961.6	3933.0	2008
364.1	0.65	2458.0	940.0	3788.0	2009
508.1	0.84	3430.0	1028.5	4073.0	2010
580.3	0.89	3917.0	1100.2	4423.0	2011
536.3	0.73	3620.0	1233.9	4948.0	2012
530.5	0.69	3581.0	1291.6	5205.0	2013
347.8	0.70	2347.4	779.5	3151.3	

1000 =

6750

(*)

()

(2000-2013)

2007

1.1

(5)

(2000-2013)

2001 1685.2

2013 5205

2891

(6) %9.2

-

:

0.01 3151.3

%97 0.97

(5)

(6)

0.70 -

0.36 (2000-2013) :

2003 1.03 2000

(5)

(2000-2013)

3917 2000 615.3

2011

(6)

:

.(2000-2013)

%	R ²	T	β	α		
9.2	0.97	*(18.5)	289	983.1	3151.3	(/)
9.2	0.96	*(15.6)	71.	243.8	779.5	(/)
10.3	0.88	*(9.3)	241	534.6	2347.4	(/)
2.4	0.13	(1.32)	0.0	0.601	0.70	
10.3	0.88	*(9.3)	35.	79.2	347.8	

.0.01

*

(5)

:

:7
(2000-2013)

(%) ()	(%) ()	(%) ()	(%) ()	()	()	()	()
57.8	7.5	50.3	42.2	1380	1277	583	2000
58.0	2.3	55.7	42.0	1410	1378	592	2001
54.9	1.4	53.5	45.1	1490	1469	672	2002
44.6	14.1	30.5	55.4	1790	1538	992	2003
48.8	7.0	41.8	51.2	2000	1861	1024	2004
46.6	12.5	34.1	53.5	2000	1751	1069	2005
49.9	12.7	37.2	50.1	2150	1876	1078	2006
37.2	7.4	29.8	62.8	2310	2140	1451	2007
54.1	6.3	47.8	45.9	3190	2990	1465	2008
48.7	34.4	14.3	51.3	2280	1495	1170	2009
49.8	31.2	18.6	50.2	2670	1837	1340	2010
52.4	48.3	4.1	47.6	4220	2180	2008	20111
47.0	37.2	9.8	53.0	3900	2450	2067	2012
55.8	50.7	5.1	44.2	4770	2350	2110	2013
45.6	13.0	32.6	54.4	2540	1899	1259	

$$100 \times (3) \div (1) - (2) = (5) \qquad 100 \times (3) \div (1) = (4)$$

$$(6) + (5) = (7) \qquad 100 \times (3) \div (2) - (3) = (6)$$

:
:
()
()

.(2000-2013) :8

	R ²	T	β	α		
9.2	0.88	*(9.5)	116.1	387.8	1259	(/)
4.2	0.49	*(3.4)	80.0	1299.7	1899	(/)
9.3	0.83	*(7.7)	235.9	771.0	2540	(/)

0.01 *

.(7) :

2013 0.49

%

235.9

%9.3

.(8)

:

2540

0.01

(7)

%83

0.83

13800

(2000-2013)

4770

2000

(8)

:

(9) (7) (2000-2013)

%54.4

) 641 %32.6

%13

%72

%30.3 (%45.6

(2000-2013)

()

2001 %57 2011 %7.9 () ()

.(2013-2000) :

(3)%	(2) %	(1) %			
57.8	797	7.5	103	54.4	694
58.0	818	2.3	32	57.0	786
54.9	819	1.4	21	54.3	798
44.6	798	14.1	252	35.5	546
48.8	976	7.0	139	45.0	837
46.6	931	12.5	249	38.9	682
49.9	1072	12.7	274	42.5	798
37.2	859	7.4	170	32.2	689
54.1	1725	6.3	200	51.0	1525
48.7	1110	34.4	785	21.7	325
49.8	1330	31.2	833	27.1	497
52.4	2212	48.3	2040	7.9	172
47.0	1833	37.2	1450	15.6	383
55.8	2660	50.7	2420	10.2	240
50.1	128	12.2	641	30.3	641

$$100 \times \frac{\text{---}}{\text{---}} : (1)$$

$$100 \times \frac{\text{---}}{\text{---}} : (2)$$

$$100 \times \frac{\text{---}}{\text{---}} : (3)$$

(7) :

:
%12.2 641
(2000-2013)
%57 2002 %1.4
2001
1282
%50.1
(2000-2013)
2007 %37.2
.2001 %58
)
(
"
":
2007
200
(¹) 2008

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1.29 \times 2000}{0.67} \times \frac{1.6}{1.2} \times \frac{1.62}{1.15} \times \frac{0.67}{2006} \times \frac{1.98}{1.4} \times \frac{1.4}{0.50} \times 2013 \\
 &+ \dots - \dots = \dots \\
 &- \dots + \dots + \dots = \dots \\
 &\dots (11 \ 10)
 \end{aligned}$$

:10

.(2000 -2006 - 2013)

2013	2006	2000	2013	2006	2000
912	333	153	1361	497	305
28	30	46	28	30	46
867	448	324	867	448	324
1807	811	523	2256	975	675
231	157	110	220	143	96
36	33	37	36	33	37
488	239	160	407	184	96
125	178	67	104	111	34
880	607	374	767	471	263
272	142	94	272	142	94
2959	1560	991	3295	1588	1032
4947	2849	1715	1910	1100	662
7906	4409	2705	5205	2688	1694

(1) :

(2)

.2001 (142)

:

. / .(2000- 2006- 2013)

(2770)	5078	2308	
(111)	374	263	
152	523	675	2000
(1053)	1715	662	
(1758)	2466	708	
(2658)	4703	2045	
(1406)	6093	4687	
(136)	607	471	
164	811	975	2006
(1749)	2849	1100	
315	1826	2141	
(1270)	5486	4216	
(879)	9665	8786	
(113)	880	767	
449	1807	2256	2013
(3039)	4949	1910	
1824	2029	3853	
(765)	8784	8019	

(10) (1):
(2)
.2001 (142)

=

/

.

0.91 0.77 0.45
(12) (2000- 2006- 2013)

()

: (0.87 0.78 0.70)
 2000-2006-)
 .(12) (2013

=
 /
 - =
 /
 (0.77 0.67 0.48)
 (2000- 2006- 2013) 0.43)
 (0.91 0.77
 (2000- 2006- 2013)

.(2000- 2006- 2013)

:12

2013	2006	2000
0.91	0.77	0.45
0.87	0.78	0.70
0.91	0.77	0.43
0.77	0.67	0.48

(11)

105 1)

(177 101 106 104

- :

-)

(-

)

(

()

%45.6

//

171

170

103

178

()

()

()

()

()

()

//

www. Fao.org

An Economical Analysis Study of Rice Crop in Egypt

Maha Abdel fatah, Hossam Mansour

Dept. of Agric. Economics Ein shams Univ.

Dept. of Agric. Econo. Extension, Rural Develop. Damanhor Univ.

ABSTRACT

The research aimed to study the important production and marketing variables for rice crop during the period (2000 - 2013) to determine the most important factors affecting farmer's decision to cultivate rice, and therefore introduce some suggestions to decrease rice area to its planned area in the indicative cropping pattern, with using the methods of statistical analysis of descriptive and quantitative terms, as well as the use of policy analysis matrix to assess the price applicable to rice policy in general and to achieve the objectives of the research has relied on data secondary issued by the Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation, the Central Agency for Public mobilization and Statistics.

The results of this study showed the following:

- Area, yield and total production relatively stable during the period (2000-2013).
- Dakahlia, Kafr El sheikh, Sharkia, Behiera and Gharbia are considered to be the most important governorates in cultivating rice, where the cultivated area by about 1.32 million feddan produced about 5.33 million tons. Where cultivated area in each governorate represented about 30.2%, 20.4%, 17.4%, 13.9%, 9.9% and 32.6%, 20.1%, 15.7%, 14.5%, 9.8% of the total cultivated area and production of rice in Egypt during the period (2000-2013).
- The most important cultivated rice varieties in Egypt according to the relative importance of cultivated area and water needs are: Giza 178, Sakha 101 Giza 177, Sakha 104, Sakha 105, and Sakha 102, which represents about 29.2%, 29 %, 17.5 %, 13.4%, 3.2%, 3 % of the total cultivated area with rice in Egypt during the period (2000-2013).
- Cost per feddan, cost per ton, net return per feddan and net return for water unit increased significantly by 9.2%, 9.2%, 10.3%, 10.3 %, per year respectively during the period (2000-2013).
- Producer price, wholesale price, consumer price increased significantly by 9.2%, 4.2%, 9.3 %, per year respectively during the period (2000-2013).
- The producer, wholesaler and retailer share in consumer pound were estimated by 54.4 %, 32.6 %, 13 % respectively during the period (2000-2013), the marketing margins reached about 641, 641 and 1282 pounds per ton for wholesaler-producer level, retailer- wholesaler level and retailer-consumer level during the same previous period.
- PAM pointed to protection coefficient reached about 0.45, 0.77, 0.91 during the years (2000, 2006, 2013) respectively.
- The nominal protection coefficient of inputs reached about (0.70, 0.78, 0.87) of the annual average for the years (2000, 2006, 2013) respectively.
- The effective protection coefficient reached about: (0.43, 0.77, 0.91) during years (2000, 2006, 2013).
- Egypt enjoys a comparative advantage in rice production where the cost of local resources coefficient reached about (0.48, 0.67, 0.77) during years (2000, 2006, 2013).

So research recommends that:

1. Giving more attention to increasing the productivity and farm-gate price of summer maize to be more profitable and competitive and start to partially replace rice crop.
2. Interest in the development of marketing cotton crop to be more profitable and competitive and start to partially replace rice crop.
3. Giving more attention to increasing the productivity and farm-gate price of summer oil crops to be more profitable and competitive and start to partially replace rice crop.
4. Replacement cultivars rice varieties Giza 170, Giza 171, Giza 178, and Sakha 103 with any of the high- yield varieties and productive unit of irrigation water such items: (a hybrid 1, Sakha 105, Sakha 104, Sakha 106, Sakha 101, Giza 177).