## Efficacy of Ozone and Hydrogen Peroxide on Controlling Crown Gall Bacterium and Root Knot Nematode Infected Guava Plants in Egypt

**Amira M. Younis<sup>1</sup>, Alia A. Shoeib<sup>1</sup>, Mohamed A. Elsaedy<sup>1</sup> and Khaled. A. Osman<sup>2</sup>** <sup>1</sup>Plant Pathology and <sup>2</sup>Pesticide Chemistry & Technology Departments, Faculty of Agriculture, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt.

Received on: 2/10/2016

Accepted: 4/12/2016

### ABSTRACT

Ozone gas  $(O_3)$ , ozonated water (OW) and hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  were tested *in vitro* and *in vivo* in different concentrations against four isolates of crown gall bacterium and one isolate of root-knot nematode. The tested isolates were obtained from galled guava roots grown in Rosetta and Edkou farms, Beheira Governorate, Egypt. Biochemical and physiological characterizations, tumorgenicity and molecular features of the four isolates proved that these isolates belong to *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. All concentration level of  $O_3$  (50-450 ppm) gave bacteriostatic effect on bacterial cells as well as deactivated the tumor induction caused by *Agrobacterium* isolates. However, all the tested concentrations describe previously of  $O_3$  showed nematicidal effect against the second stage juveniles (J<sub>2</sub>) of *M. incognita* after 24 hrs of exposure. In addition, tested concentrations of OW (15-135 ppm) showed bacteriostatic and nematicidal effect on *A. tumefaciens* and J<sub>2</sub> of *M. incognita*, respectively. On the other hand, all the tested concentrations (1, 3, 5, 7 and 9%) of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> showed bactericidal and nematicidal effects against *A. tumefaciens* and J<sub>2</sub> of *M. incognita*, respectively. Scanning electron microscope photographs clearly showed the damage of *A. tumefaciens* cells and J<sub>2</sub> of *M. incognita* exposed to either O<sub>3</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at concentrations of 450 ppm and 9%, respectively.

# Key words: Agrobacterium tumefaciens; Meloidogyne incognita; Pisidum guajava; Ozone; Ozonated water; Hydrogen peroxide; SEM.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The causal agent of crown gall bacterium, Agrobacterium tumefaciens, is well-known in soil. An early comprehensive review on the host range of crown gall disease reported 643 susceptible hosts belong to 93 families, mainly gymnosperms and dicotyledons (De Cleene and De Ley, 1976). Crown gall bacterial pathogen infects the roots of dicotyledonous plants through lesions or wound injuries. These wounds may be caused by biological agents such as nematodes and insects or by mechanical tools (Kersters and Deley, 1984; Woese et al, 1984; Bouzar et al., 199; Setti and Bencheikh, 2013). The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) classified methyl bromide as a restricted use pesticide (EPA, 1986) and currently being reduced because of its ability to deplete ozone layer (Kim et al., 1999). Many investigators are developing enviro-nmentally sound economically feasible alternatives that can be adopted once the phase out of methyl bromide is complete. Ozone  $(O_3)$  is highly reactive with many organic compounds (Razumovskii and Zaikov, 1984) and has been used in soils for environmental remediation of contaminated sites and degradation of pesticides (Somich et al., 1990; O'Mahony et al., 2006). The effective biocidal strength of O<sub>3</sub> due to its ability to oxidize organic molecules in living organisms (Qiu et al., 2009). Similarly, hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) may possess direct microbicidal activity at the sites of pathogen invasion (Alvarez et al., 1998). It is used for cell-wall reinforcing processes, lignification and oxidative cross-linking of hydroxyproline-rich proteins and other cell-wall polymers (Kuzniak and Urbanek, 2000).

The present investigation was undertaken to isolate and identify crown gall bacterium and root-knot nematode attacking guava plants grown in Rosetta and Edkou farms, Beheira Governorate, Egypt, using biochemical, physiological and molecular techniques as well as to study the effectiveness of  $O_3$ , ozonated water (OW) and hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)as alternative control compounds. Also, this study aimed to confirm the damage of *Agrobacterium* cells and root-Knot nematode J<sub>2</sub> as a result of O<sub>3</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment using scanning electron microscope.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Samples collection and isolation

Guava (*Pisidum guajava* L.) plants showed crown gall and/or root-knot nematode disease symptoms were collected in April 2013 to September 2014 from Rosetta and Edkou farms, Beheira Governorate, Egypt. Symptomatic materials were placed in plastic bags and transported to the laboratory for further studies.

For bacterial isolation, Clark's selective medium designated as NASA, (Serfontein and Staphorst, 1994) was used. For nematode isolation, juveniles  $(J_2)$  of root-knot nematodes were extracted from 100 gm galled roots of Guava plants, which were cut into small pieces in a Petri-dish with 5 ml distilled water. Under the magnification of a stereoscopic microscope, individual egg-masses which usually protruded from the root were observed. The eggs were passed through a tissue of filter and hatching to obtain  $J_2$  as pure cultures for further studies.

#### Pathogenicity test

Pathogenicity test of bacterial isolates were done using squash fruits, *Cucurbita pepo* as recommended by Shoeib, (1997). The collected  $J_2$  of nematode were used to inoculate roots of Guava seedling, 9 months in old, to confirm the pathogenicity, and then re-isolation was done. Roots of tomatoes seedlings (*Solanum esculentum*) cv. 'Super Marmand', 15 days in old, were inoculated with  $J_2$  for preserving to further study.

## Identification of bacterial isolates

## **Classical and Molecular methods**

Bacterial colonies suspected of being Agrobacterium sp. were initially identified using microscopically features included cell shape, Gram staining, motility and capsule formation, according to Moore et al (2001). Bacterial isolates were tested for their ability to produce acid from lactose, sorbitol, mannose, fructose, maltose and glucose. The bacterial growth at 37 °C and tolerance to grow on 2% and 5% NaCl were determined. The bacterial growth on potato dextrose agar medium (PDA) at pH 7.4 was also determined after 72 hrs at 25°C. The previous biochemical and physiological properties of the tested isolates were conducted according to Moore et al. (1988) and Brenner et al. (2005).

Polymers chain reaction (PCR) analysis was conducted using the two primer pairs; tms2A/tms2B and virD2A/virD2C primers (Table 1) designed by Haas *et al.* (1995) to identify the four tested *Agrobacterium* sp. isolates. These primers amplify the highly conserved DNA sequences in the *tms* and *virD2* gene on the Ti plasmid. 16S rRNA gene assays, full length (1550bp) of 16S rRNA gene was amplified for the four *A. tumefaciens* isolates using the two primers, P0 and P6 (Table 1).The amplified product of 16S rRNA gene (1550 bp) was sequenced using a Big Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit and resolved on an ABI PRISM model 310 Automated DNA Sequencer at the Sigma Scientific Services Company.

#### Identification of root-knot nematode isolates

Root-knot nematode isolate  $J_2$  from Guava was used as pure culture to inoculate tomato seedling roots. Root-knot nematode females were picked up from galled tomatoes roots and identified based on the features of perineal pattern of the isolated adult females (Taylor et al., 1955).

Effect of ozone gas  $(O_3)$ , ozonated water (OW)and hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  on the growth of *Agrobacterium* sp. and *Meloidogyne* sp. isolates Effect of  $O_3$  *in vitro* and *in vivo* 

Bacterial isolates were grown in Nutrient Broth (NB) medium for 72 hrs at 25 °C. Bacterial suspension (100 ml) contains ca.  $5 \times 10^8$  CFU/ml were exposed to O<sub>3</sub>, using a Laboratory Corona Discharge Ozone Generator (Xetin Ozone Air & Water purifier, Model XT 301, Xetin Co. Ltd, Taiwan with an air flow rate of 2.5 L/min with output of 300 mg/h.

 $O_3$  gas was passed through bacterial suspension for 0, 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 min to give concentrations of 0, 50, 150, 250, 350 and 450 ppm, respectively. Before and after ozone treatment, a loopfull of bacterial suspension (10 µl) was streaked on PDA medium and incubated for 48 hrs at 25°C. The developed bacterial colonies were counted and used for testing its tumor induction on squash fruits using toothpicks.

At the same time,  $10^4$  of  $J_2/100$  ml distilled water were taken in a flask and exposed to the same previously mentioned O<sub>3</sub> concentrations. Dead and alive  $J_2$  were recorded after 24 hrs and mortality percentage was calculated. Three replicates for each treatment were used.

Table 1: Primers used for PCR and 16S rRNA tes	st with Agrobacterium tumefaciens isolates

Primer name (forward/reverse)	Primer specificity	Nucleotide sequence (5° to 3°)	Length of amplified fragment (bp)	
	tms2 gene of the	CGCCACACAGGGCTGGGGGTAGGC		
tms2A/ tms2B	tumor inducing (Ti) on the Ti plasmid.	GGAGCAGTGCCGGGTGCCTCGGGA	220	
	virD2 gene of the	ATGCCCGATCGAGCTCAAGT		
virD2A/ virD2C	virulence (vir) region on the Ti plasmid.	TCGTCTGGCTGACTTTCGTCAT	224	
$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{T})$	1CC DNA	GAAGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG	1550	
P0 (F)/ P6 (R)	16S rRNA gene	CTACGGCTACCTTGTTACGA	- 1550	

## Effect of OW and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in vitro

Ozonated water (OW) was prepared using  $O_3$  flow rate of 300 mg O<sub>3</sub>/hr for 30 min (150 mg/L) in distilled water. Dilutions of OW were prepared to give 0, 15, 45, 75, 105 and 135 ppm, respectively. A series of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (34%) concentrations were also prepared as 0, 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9%.

The sensitivity pattern of tested Agrobacterium sp. isolates against OW or  $H_2O_2$  were determined using disc diffusion method of Kirby-Bauer technique (Bauer *et al.*, 1966). In brief, filter paper discs, Whatmann No.1, with diameter 0.6 mm were saturated with 30 µl of different previously concentrations of OW or  $H_2O_2$ . The resulted inhibition zones on PDA medium around the developed colonies were measured.

For root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne* sp., one hundred  $J_2$ /ml distilled water in a test tube were carried out and exposed to previous dilutions of OW or  $H_2O_2$ . Numbers of dead and alive  $J_2$  were recorded after 24 hrs and mortality percentages were calculated.

# Bacteriostatic/bactericidal aspect after exposure to $O_{3}$ , OW and $H_2O_2$

The resulting clear zone of inhibition was swabbed using a sterile cotton swab and used to inoculate new plates containing PDA medium to determine if  $O_3$ , OW and  $H_2O_2$  were bacteriostatic (BS) or bactericidal (BC) in nature (Shoeib and Alkufeidy, 2014).

#### Scanning electron microscope (SEM) study Samples preparations

The selected *A. tumefaciens* (RAt<sub>1</sub>) isolate was grown in NB media and exposed to  $O_3$  for 450 ppm or  $H_2O_2$  (9%), then fixed in formaldhyde: glutaraldehyde (4:1,v/v) in phosphate buffer solution pH 7.2 at 4°C for 3 hrs. Bacterial cells were then postfixed in 2% osmium tetroxide (OsO<sub>4</sub>) in the same buffer at 4°C for 2 hrs, washed in the buffer and dehydrated at 4°C through a graded series of ethanol ranging from 30-100%. The bacterial cells were dried by means of the critical point method mounted using carbon paste on an Al-stub and coated with Gold up to a thickness of 400 Å in a sputter-coating unit "JFC-1100E" (Oli *et al.*, 2012).

Nematode specimens were prepared from a population of *M. incognita* cultured on tomato seedlings, grown in a greenhouse at 22-28 °C. Newly hatched  $J_2$  were obtained by incubating egg masses on wet tissue paper supported by a plastic screen in a moist chamber (Eisenback, 1986). Specimens were chilled in 0.5 ml (12 drops) of tap water in a refrigerator (4°C) for 30 min, and then fixed by adding 4 drops of 4% cold glutaraldehyde-2% formalin (buffered with 0.1 M sodium cacodylate pH 7.2) every 30 min until the final concentration of fixative reached 2% glutaraldehyde-1% formalin (12 drops of fixative) Fixation continued overnight (15-20 hrs). After

fixation, all specimens were postfixed in 2%  $OsO_4$  (buffered in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate pH 7.2) for 8 hrs at 4°C, dehydrated in a seven-step ethanol series and dried (Eisenback, 1985).

## Scanning electron micrograph

Changes in cell walls of *A. tumefaciens* and *M. incognita*  $J_2$  head region treated with either O<sub>3</sub> (450 ppm) or  $H_2O_2$  (9%) compared to the control treatment, were photographed using scanning electron microscope (SEM) JEOL, model JSM-5300 operated between 15 and 35 KeV.

## Statistical Analysis

Data of experiments, 3 replicates for each treatment, were analyzed using statistical analysis system software (SAS) (SAS Institute, 1997). Treatment means were compared using the revised LSD test at the 0.05 level of probability.

## RESULTS

### Samples collection and isolation

Attempts to isolate *Agrobacterium* sp. from one hundred guava galled root samples resulted in 4 different isolates coded RAt<sub>1</sub>, RAt<sub>2</sub>, RAt<sub>3</sub> isolated from Rosetta farms and EAt<sub>4</sub> isolated from Edkou farms. After 4-6 days from incubation at 25 °C, bacterial colonies grown on NASA medium looked like the typical growth of *Agrobacterium* sp. The bacterial colonies appeared convex with red centers and white margins (Fig. 1A), while the growth on PDA medium appeared convex, glistening, white to beige in color and circulated with an entire edge after 2-3 days at 28°C (Fig. 1B). For root-knot nematode J<sub>2</sub>, eggs and females were obtained from galled guava root.

#### Pathogenicity test

The Pathogenicity test illustrated that all bacterial isolates induced tumors on squash fruits after 7-10 days from artificial inoculation (Fig. 2).

Roots of natural infected Guava plants (100 galls/10 gm roots) were taken to isolate egg-masses and hatching to obtain second stage juveniles  $(J_2)$  to make artificial infected in healthy guava seedlings resulted 59 galls/root

### Identification tests

## **Classical and Molecular methods**

On the basis of microscopic examination, the four tested isolates were identified using Gram staining, motility and capsule formation. Data in Table (2) showed that all bacterial isolates did not produce acids (-) when grown on media containing mannose, fructose, maltose and glucose. On the other hand, all these isolates produced acid (+) when grown on either lactose or sorbitol except RAt<sub>2</sub> isolate didn't produce acid (-) on sorbitol. Also, the obtained data indicated that Agrobacterium isolates didn't grow on media containing NaCl, but they grew at 37°C and gave positive (+) results with catalase test



Fig.1: Bacterial colonies of *Agrobacterium* sp. (RAt<sub>1</sub>) isolate on NASA showed red centers and white margins (A) and on PDA media showed circulated and white to beige in color (B).



Fig. 2: Tumors symptoms on squash fruits inoculated(A); and non-inoculated(B) with Agrobacterium sp (RAt1).

	Growth on NaCl Acid production									
Isolate code	2%	5%	Lactose	Sorbitol	Mannose	Fructose	Maltose	Glucose	Growth at 37°C	Catalase test
RAt <sub>1</sub>	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+
RAt <sub>2</sub>	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
RAt <sub>3</sub>	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+
EAt <sub>4</sub>	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+

A specific band of 220 bp, amplified using primers tms2A/tms2B showed in the four tested *Agrobacterium*. isolates (Fig. 3A). Similarly, a specific band of 224 bp was appeared using virC/ virD primers tested (Fig. 3B).

PCR product of the 16S rRNA gene of the four *Agrobacterium* isolates resulted in a band of approximately 1550 bp (Fig. 4). This band was subjected to partial DNA sequencing. The obtained data revealed that the inferred 16S rRNA partial sequence of tested isolates were similar to *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, one of them (RAt<sub>1</sub>) was identified by GenBank as indigenous virulent *A. tumefaciens* isolate with accession No. LT630451.

#### Identification of root-knot nematode isolates

Root-knot nematode isolate obtained from Rosetta was identified based on the features of perineal pattern of females; all tested females were *Meloidogyne incognita*.

#### Effect of O3 in vitro and in vivo

The results in Table (3) show that the main effect of lowest count of CFU/ml was observed with RAt<sub>1</sub> and RAt<sub>2</sub> isolates, which recorded  $4.2 \times 10^6$ 

and  $4.5 \times 10^6$  CFU/ml, respectively. The effect of ozone exposure in reducing CFU/ml (from  $5.0 \times 10^8$  to  $1.3 \times 10^5$ ) was significantly concentration-dependent (from 0 to 450 ppm, respectively). At all the tested ozone gas treatments, the tested bacterial isolates were still capable for inducing tumors on squash fruits (Fig. 5). On the other hand, all the tested ozone gas treatments applied on the root-knot nematode (*M. incognita*) induced 100 % J<sub>2</sub> mortality after 24 hrs.

#### Effect of OW and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in vitro

The results revealed no inhibition zones were appeared around the discs saturated with OW at different concentration on PDA medium inoculated with all tested *A. tumefaciens* isolates, for 48 hrs at 28 °C (Fig. 6). In case of *M. incognita* the recorded results after 24, 48 and 72 hrs of exposure to OW showed 50, 70 and 90% mortalities in  $J_2$ , respectively.

The efficacy  $H_2O_2$  concentration for inhibiting bacterial growth was determined after 48 hrs of incubation (Table 4 and Fig. 7).



Fig.3: Amplified products resulted from the use of the two specific primers: tms2A/tms2B, (A); virD2A/virD2C (B); M: a 100 bp DNA marker ladder.

Table 3: Effect of different ozone gas treatments concentration/ time on number of CFU/ml of the four
isolates of A. tumefaciens grown on nutrient broth medium.

		Isolate					
Isolate code	0	50	150	250	350	450	main
			С	FU/ml			effect
RAt <sub>1</sub>	5.0×10 <sup>8 a</sup>	$3.0 \times 10^{7 \text{ bc}}$	3.3×10 <sup>7 d</sup>	3.3×10 <sup>6 f</sup>	$2.3 \times 10^{5 \text{ g}}$	$2.0 \times 10^{4 \text{ i}}$	$4.2 \times 10^{6}$ C
RAt <sub>2</sub>					$3.0 \times 10^{5 \text{ g}}$		$4.5 \times 10^{6 \text{ BC}}$
RAt <sub>3</sub>	$5.0 \times 10^{8 a}$	$4.3 \times 10^{7 \text{ bc}}$	$3.3 \times 10^{7 \text{ cd}}$	3.0×10 <sup>6 e</sup>	$3.0 \times 10^{5 \text{ g}}$	$2.6 \times 10^{4}$ <sup>th</sup>	$4.9 \times 10^{6 \text{ B}}$
EAt <sub>4</sub>	5.0×10 <sup>8 a</sup>	$4.0 \times 10^{7 \text{ bc}}$	$3.6 \times 10^{7 \text{ bc}}$	3.6×10 <sup>6 e</sup>	$2.6  imes 10^{5 \text{ g}}$	$3.0 \times 10^{4 \text{ h}}$	5.0×10 <sup>6 B</sup>
Conc. main effect	5.0×10 <sup>8A</sup>	6.2×10 <sup>7B</sup>	5.2×10 <sup>7C</sup>	6.5×10 <sup>6D</sup>	9.5 ×10 <sup>5E</sup>	$1.3 \times 10^{5F}$	

Data are means of 3 replicates. Means with the same letter(s) aren't significantly different at  $P \le 0.05$ .



Fig. 4: Gel electrophoresis of 16S rRNA PCR product (1550 bp) for the four A. tumefaciens isolates, M: a 100 bp DNA marker ladder.



Fig. 5: Negative (-) control without bacterial inoculation (A); positive (+) control with bacterial inoculation (B); Tumor induced by A. tumefaciens inoculation which treated with ozone (450ppm) (C).



Fig. 6: Agrobacterium tumefaciens (RAt1) isolate grow on PDA medium containing disc saturated with 30 µl sterilized water as a control treatment (A) and disc saturated with 30 µl (135 ppm) ozonated water (B).

Table 4: Effect of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentrations on Agrobacterium tumefaciens isolates grown on PDA medium.

Isolate code		Isolate					
	0	1	3	5	7	9	main
		In	hibition z	one (mm)			effect
RAt <sub>1</sub>	$0.0^{m}$	30.0 <sup>d</sup>	35.0 <sup>c</sup>	$40.0^{b}$	$50.0^{\mathrm{a}}$	$65.0^{a}$	57.0 <sup>A</sup>
RAt <sub>2</sub>	$0.0^{m}$	$1.0^{k}$	7.0 <sup>i</sup>	15.3 <sup>g</sup>	15.4 <sup>g</sup>	30.0 <sup>e</sup>	31.6 <sup>D</sup>
RAt <sub>3</sub>	$0.0^{m}$	$0.0^{m}$	14.7 <sup>g</sup>	19.7 <sup>f</sup>	30.0 <sup>e</sup>	30.0 <sup>e</sup>	36.0 <sup>B</sup>
EAt <sub>4</sub>	$0.0^{m}$	5.0 <sup>j</sup>	$9.7^{\rm hi}$	11.0 <sup>h</sup>	22.3 <sup>f</sup>	30.0 <sup>e</sup>	34.2 <sup>C</sup>
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> conc. main effect	1.0 <sup>F</sup>	2.2 <sup>E</sup>	3.2 <sup>D</sup>	3.7 <sup>C</sup>	4.7 <sup>B</sup>	5.3 <sup>A</sup>	

Means have the same letters aren't significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ ).



Fig. 7: Agrobacterium tumefaciens (RAt<sub>1</sub>) isolate grow on PDA media containing disc saturated with sterilized water as a control treatment (A) and disc saturated with  $H_2O_2$  (9%) (B).

Results revealed that the isolates markedly varied in their response to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The highest inhibition zone of  $H_2O_2$  (57.0) was recorded with isolate RAt<sub>1</sub> followed by RAt<sub>3</sub>, EAt<sub>4</sub> and then RAt<sub>2</sub>, with 36.0, 34.2 and 31.6 mm, respectively (Table 4). Also, the highest inhibition zone was recorded with the highest concentration and vice versa.

In case of root knot-nematode, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> resulted in a complete damage in  $J_2$  of *M. incognita*.

#### Bacteriostatic/bactericidal aspect after exposure to O<sub>3</sub>, OW and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>

Re-inoculated bacterial cells from inhibition zone on PDA were taken as criteria to detect bacteriostatic (BS) and bactericidal (BC) aspect of O<sub>3</sub>, OW and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Results indicated that O<sub>3</sub> and OW gave bacteriostatic effect against all tested isolates which grow after re-inoculated on PDA medium, while isolates didn't grow after treatment with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> after streaked on PDA medium which mean that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> revealed BC effect against tested isolates. In case of RKN, J2 were recorded mortality

in all O<sub>3</sub>, OW and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> after 24 hrs, results mean that  $O_3$ , OW and  $H_2O_2$  have nematicidal effect.

## Scanning electronic microscope study

To detect the influence of  $O_3$  gas or  $H_2O_2$  in the change of ultrastructure bacterial cell walls and root-knot nematode J<sub>2</sub> head region were carried out using SEM. Cells wall of untreated A. tumefaciens isolate (RAt<sub>1</sub>) showed straight rods arranged singly of cells (Fig. 8A), the cells after exposure to  $O_3$  gas, shortness and some deformities in the external bacterial cell wall were observed (Fig.8B). In the case of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment, the micrograph of tested isolate, showed deformities and full lyses of bacterial cell walls (Fig. 8C). On the other hand, SEM photograph of M. incognita  $J_2$  showed distorted in morphology of head region after treated with O<sub>3</sub> gas (Fig. 9B), while photograph clarified that nematode head region and entire bodies were disrupted after treated with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 9C), in comparison with untreated  $J_2$  where appear normal and free from distortion (Fig. 9A).



Fig. 8: Scanning electron microscope observation of A. tumefaciens  $(RAt_1)$  isolated from Guava plant. (A) Untreated bacterial cells showed straight rod occurring singly; (B) bacterial cells after exposure to O<sub>3</sub> (450 ppm) showed shortness and deformities; (C) bacterial cell after exposure to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (9%) showed deformities and full lyses.

Fig. 9: Scanning electron microscope observation of *Meloidogyne incognita* Junveniles (J<sub>2</sub>), (A) Healthy control, (B) J<sub>2</sub> treated with O<sub>3</sub> (450 ppm) showed distorted in head region and (C) treated with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (9%) showed disrupted in head region.

## DISCUSSION

In different parts of Beheira Governorate especially Rosetta and Edkou centers, 80% of guava plants are invased by bacterial pathogens, which cause crown gall disease. At the same time, this disease will be more unsafe when bacterial pathogens accompanied with parasitic nematodes, especially root-knot nematodes (M. A. Elsaedy, personal information's).

Isolation of tested *Agrobacterium. tumefaciens* was appeared smooth, round and bright transparent rings in the margins on the NASA selective medium, which supported by many authors (Holt *et al.*, 1994; Chen *et al.*, 1999; Koivunen *et al.*, 2004; Sarker *et al.*, 2011, Davoodi and Hajivand, 2013, Setti and Bencheikh, 2013). Tested of bacterial colonies were found that generally grow red colonies on NASA medium (Holt *et al.*, 1994 and Sarker *et al.*, 2011). The identity of each of the suspected *A.tumefaciens* isolates was determined by the appropriate biochemical and physiological tests. The results obtained were matched with Tiwary, et al. (2007) and Setti and Bencheikh (2013).

PCR has rapidly become a basic diagnostic and identification protocol in plant pathology. The PCR of the present results with tms2A/tms2B and virD2A-virD2C primers successfully proliferate the target sequences giving the specific bands expected for these primers with all tested isolates. These results are supporting further identity of these isolates as *A. tumefaciens*, and agree with the results of Tolba and Soliman (2014).

In the present study, the identification and description of root knot-nematode were based mainly on perineal pattern, where it was one of the most characteristic morphological features of the genus *Meloidogyne* to the tested adult females to describe *M. incognita* (Taylor *et al.*, 1955).

In order to management these diseases on Guava trees,  $O_3$ ; ozonated water (OW) and  $H_2O_2$ applications were used. Although O<sub>3</sub> applications are more expensive than any control treatments such as soil fumigants, but the use of  $O_3$  would become more feasible if the costs of perceived environmental and health effects were factored into the equation (Runia and Amsing 1996). In laboratory trials, the effect of O<sub>3</sub> and OW on bacterial isolates growth in decreasing CFU/ml was concentration-dependent, this finding agreement with Hussein et al., (2015). In addition the tested isolates were still able to induce tumors after exposure to  $O_3$  and OW with bacteriostatic (BS) effect, these results in contradict with the resuls of Hussein *et al.* (2015) who found that  $O_3$  was able to break cell membrane leading finally to kill the bacterial cells.

All tested concentration/time of  $O_3$  and OW were induced 100% *M. incognita*  $J_2$  mortality after 24 and 72 hrs, respectively. Similar results were

obtained by Moens *et al.* (1991) who found that ozone treatment for 4 min totally inhibited the nematode infection potential on tomato plants, but the complete nematode kill was only achieved after a treatment time of 12 min.

The use of hydrogen peroxides for the control both *A. tumefaciens* and *M. incognita* was tested *in vitro*, results achieved bactericidal and nematicidal, respectively in 9% concentration of  $H_2O_2$ . Similarity results obtained by Hugo and Malan (2006), who found complete damage of *Radopholus similis* when treated with 400 ppm of  $H_2O_2$ .

Morphological changes of bacterial isolates A. tumefaciens and root-knot nematode M. incognita observed by SEM provided strong evidence that the effect of antibacterial agent act on the cell wall (Hara and Glenn 1994, Ritz et al., 2001; Neumann 2005; Oli et al., 2012). In the present study, SEM showed the modification of the external shape of cells of A. tumefaciens after treatment by O<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. These modification were deformities and decreasing of number cells in case of O<sub>3</sub> gas treatment, while in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment, the tested bacterial cells showed full lyses. Similar results were obtained by Shoeib and Alkufeidy (2014) who confirmed that Gram negative bacteria (G-ve) appears deformities and lyses after treatment by aqueous clove's buds extract. Morover, The SEM results showed distorted and damage in the morphology shape of an M. incognita after treatment by  $O_3$  and  $H_2O_2$ .

Public concern over the toxicity of nematicidal and their impact on the environment has focused research on alternative methods to control plant pathogen (Thomason, 1987). The high value crops have relied on the using of methyl bromide fumigation for controlling weeds, fungi and plantparasitic nematodes. It is an effective disinfecting agent, and inhibits or kills bacteria, fungi, viruses and protozoa (Kim *et al.*, 1999). Our conclusion have strengthened that  $O_3$  and  $H_2O_2$  have potential antibacterial and anti-nematode agents. Thus the efficacy of ozone gas and hydrogen peroxide may be used in future as alternative methods for controlling the double infection of crown gall bacterium and root – knot nematodes.

#### REFERENCES

- Alvarez, M.E., Pennnell, R.L, Meijer, P.J., Ishikawa, A., Dixon, R.A., and Lamb, C. (1998). Reactive oxygen intermediates mediate a systemic signal network in the establishment of plant immunity. Cell 92: 773-784.
- Bauer, A.W., Kirby W.M.M., Sherris J.C., and Turck, M. (1966). Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. 36: 493-496.

- Brenner, D. J., Krieg, N. R., and Staley, J. T. (**2005**). Bergy's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, New York, USA.
- Bouzar H., Daouzli N., Krimi Z., Alim A., and Khemici E. (1991). Crown gall incidence in plant nurseries of Algeria, characteristics of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strains, and biological control of strains sensitive and resistant to agrocine 84. Agronomie 11: 901-908.
- Chen, F.C., Hseu, S. H., Hung, S.T., Chen, M.C. and Lin, C.Y. (**1999**). Leaf, stem and crown galls on perennial asters caused by Agrobacterium tumefaciens in Taiwan. Bot. Bull. Acad. Sin., **40**: 237-242.
- Davoodi, A. and Hajivand, S.(**2013**). Isolation of *Agrobacterium Tumefaciens* Strains from Crown Gall Disease on Imported Roses Plants in Qazvin Province. J. of Ornamental and Horticultural plants.**3** (**2**): 117-124.
- De Cleene, M. and J. De Ley, (**1976**). The host range of crown gall. Bot. Rev. **42**: 389-466.
- Eisenback, J. D. (1985). Techniques for preparing nematodes for scanning electron microscopy. Pp. 79-105 *in* K. R. Barker, C. C. Carter, andJ. N. Sasser, eds. An advanced treatise *on Meloidogyne*, vol. 2. Methodology. a cooperative publication of the North Carolina State University Department of Plant Pathology and U.S. Agency for International Development, Raleighi
- Eisenback, J. D. (1986). A Comparison of Techniques Useful for Preparing Nematodes for Scanning Electron Microscopy. J. of Nematol., 18(4):479-487.
- EPA (1986). Pesticide fact sheet Number 98: Methyl bromide. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pesticide Programs, U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington, DC.
- Haas, J.H., Moore, L.W., Rcam, W. and Manulis, S. (1995). Universal PCR primers for detection of phytopathogenic *Agrobacterium* strains. Appl. Environ. Microbial. 61: 2879-2884.
- Hussein, H.Z., Tuarna, R.H., and Ali, A.M. (2015). Study the effect of ozone gas and ultraviolet radiation and microwave on the degradation of aflatoxin B1 produced by *Aspergillus flavus* on stored Maize grains. J. of Agri. and Vet. Science 8: 5-12.
- Holt, J.G., Kreig, N.R., Sneath, P.H.A., Staley, J.T. and Williams, S.T. (**1994**). Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology. 9th Edn, Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, USA.
- Kersters, K. and Deley J., (**1984**). Genus III. *Agrobacterium*. Conn. Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology **1**: 244-254.

- Kim, J.G., Yousef, A.E., and Dave, S. (1999). Application of ozone for enhancing the microbiological safety and quality of foods: a review. J. of Food Protection 62: 1071–1087.
- Koivunen, M.E., Morisseau, C., Horwath, W.R. and Hammock, B.D. (2004). Isolation of a strain of Agrobacterium tumefaciens (Rhizobium radiobacter) utilizing methylene urea (ureaformaldehyde) as nitrogen source. Can. J. Microbiol., 50: 167-174.
- Kuzniak, E., and Urbanek, H. (**2000**). The involvement of hydrogen peroxide in plant responses to stresses. Review. ACTA. Physiologiae Plantarum **22** (**2**):195-203.
- Moens, M., Moermans, R. and Hendrickx, G. (1991). Sensitivity of *Meloidogyne incognita* second stage juveniles to ozone treatment. *Mededelingen van de Faculteit* Landbouwwetenschappen, Rijksuniversiteit Gent 56(3b): 1313-1319.
- Moore, L.W., Bouzar, H. and Burr,T.J. (2001). Agrobacterium. In Schaad, N.W.; Jones, J.B.; Chun, W. eds, Laboratory Guide for Identification of Plant Pathogenic Bacteria. American Phytopathological Society Press, St. Paul, Minnesota, pp 17-33.
- Moore, L.W., Kado, C.I. and Bouzar, H. (1988). Agrobacterium. In: Laboratory Guide for Identification of Plant Pathogenic Bacteria, Schaad, N.W. (Ed.). American Phytopathological Society Press, America, pp: 16-36.
- Oli, A. K., Sungar, R., Shivshetty, N., Hosamani, R., and Revansiddappa, K.C. (2012). A Study of Scanning Electron Microscope of Vancomycin Resistant Enterococcus faecalis from Clinical Isolates. Advances in Microbiolo., 2, 93-97.
- O'Mahony, M.M., Dobson, A.D. W., Barnes, J.D., and Singleton, I. (**2006**). The use of ozone in the remediation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon contaminated soil. Chemosphere **63**:307–314.
- Qiu, J. J.,Westerdahl,B.B, and Pryor, A. (2009). Reduction of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne javanica*, and Ozone mass transfer in soil treated with ozone J. Nematol. 41(3):241–246.
- Razumovskii, S.D., and Zaikov, G.E. (**1984**). Ozone and its reactions with organic compounds. Series title: Studies in organic chemistry 15.New York: Elsevier Science Publishers. Rev. **42**: 389-466.

- Runia, W.T. and Amsing, J.J. (1996). Disinfestation of nematode-infested recirculation water by ozone and activated hydrogen peroxide (Abst.). In: Proceedings of the 9th International Congress on Soilless Culture St Helier, Jersey, Channel Islands, 2-19 April 1996: 381-393.
- Sarker, A. Q., Mondol, P. C., Islam, S. and Alam, M. F. (2011). Identification of virulent Agrobacterium tumefaciens strains from some dicotyledonous plants in bangladesh. Agriculture Conspectus Scientificus, 76(2): 147-152.
- SAS Institute. (**1997**). SAS/STAT User's Guide. Release 6.03 Edition-6th SAS Institute Inc., North Carolina, Cury. Inc., 1028 pp.
- Serfontein, S. and Staphorst, J.L.(**1994**). Crown gall of hop caused by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* biovar in South Africa. Plant Pathol. **43**: 1028 – 1030.
- Setti, B. and Bencheikh, M. (**2013**). Isolation and characterization of the *Agrobacterium tumefaciensfrom* almond nurseries in Chlef region in western Algeria. European Scientific J. **9**, No.30 ISSN: 1857 – 7881.
- Shoeib, A.A. (1997). Inhibitory effect of *Pseudomoans fluorecens* on bacterial growth and pathogenicity of *Psedumonas solanacearum* and *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. 8<sup>th</sup> Congress of the Egyptian Phytopathol., Cairo:403-415.

- Shoeib, A. A. and Alkufeidy, R. M. S. (2014). Bacteriostatic or bactericidal action of four aqueous plant extracts on multi-drug resistant bacteremia and their effect on cells morphology recorded using scanning electron microscopy (SEM).African J. of Microbiol., Research. 8: 3732-3742.
- Somich, C.J., Muldoon, M.T., and Kearney, P.C. (1990). On-site treatment of pesticide waste and rinsate using ozone and biologically active soil. Environmental Science and Technology 24:745–749.
- Taylor , A.L., Dropkin, V.H. and Martin, G.G. (1955). Perineal patterns of root – knot nematodes. Phytopathology 45: 26-34.
- Thomason, I. J. (1987).Challenges facing nematology: environmental risks with nematicides and the need for new approaches. Nematology PP.469 – 476.
- Tolba, I. H. and Soliman. M.A. (2014). Phenotypic and Molecular Characterization of Tumorigenic Agrobacterium tumefaciens Strains Isolated from Rose Plant in Egypt Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research, 3(4): 1002-1014.
- Tiwary, B. N., Birendra, B., Ghosh, A., Kumar, S., and Jain, K. (**2007**). Charact-erization of Two Novel Biovar of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* isola- ted from Root Nodules of *Vicia faba* Curr Microbiol., **55**: 328–333.
- Woese, C.R., Stackebrandt, E., Weisburg, W.G., and Paster, B.J., (**1984**). The phylogeny of purpule bacteria: the alpha subdivisition. Syst. Appl. Microbiol., **5**: 305-313.

## الملخص العربى

## دراسة تأثير الأوزون وفوق أكسيد الهيدروجين على بكتيرة التدرن التاجي ونيماتودا تعقد الجذور على نبات الجوافة في مصر

أميرة مصطفى يونس'، عالية عبد الباقى شعيب'، محمد أنور الصعيدى'، خالد أحمد عثمان'

لقسم امراض النبات، <sup>`</sup> قسم كيمياء وتقنية المبيدات – كلية الزراعة، جامعة الإسكندرية، مصر

تم اختبار غاز الأوزون، ماء الأوزون وفوق أكسيد الهيدروجين بتركيزات مختلفة على ٤ عزلات من بكتيرة التدرن التاجي وعزلة واحدة من نيماتودا تعقد الجذور. جُمعت العزلات البكتيرية والنيماتودية من جذور نبات الجوافة المصابة بالتدرنات والمنزرعة بمنطقتي إدكو ورشيد بمحافظة البحيرة، مصر . أثبتت كل من الإختبارات البيوكيميائية، الفسيولوجية، إختبار إحداث الورم، بالإضافة إلى استخدام طرق البيولوجيا الجزيئية للعزلات البكتيرية ، أن الـ ٤ عزلات المدروسة تتمي للنوع Agrobacterium tumefaciens . أظهرت نتائج تعرض كل من الإختبارات البيكتيرية ما للاورسة البرقي الثاني لنيماتودا تعقد الجذور *Agrobacterium بحرض* كل من العزلات البكتيرية ، أن الـ ٤ عزلات المدروسة أن جميع التركيزات كانت موقفة لنمو بكثيرة التدرن التاجي، كما أدت إلى نقليل حجم الأوزون (٥٠ – ٤٠٠ جزء في المليون)، أن جميع التركيزات كانت موقفة لنمو بكثيرة التدرن التاجي، كما أدت إلى نقليل حجم الأورام المنكونة بعد التعرض، بينما أن جميع التركيزات المستخدمة مميت للطور البرقى الثانى للنيماتودا، وذلك بعد ٢٤ ساعة من التعرض. أيضا فقد أظهرت التركيزات المختبرة (١٥ – ١٣٥ جزء في المليون) من ماء الأوزون تأثيراً موقفاً لنمو العزلات البكتيرية المختبرة وتأثيراً مميتاً للطور اليرقي الثاني لنيماتودا تعقد الجذور . في حين أدى استخدام فوق أكسيد الهيدروجين بتركيزات ١٠ ٣، ٥، ٧ التركيزات المختبرة (١٥ – ١٣٥ جزء في المليون) من ماء الأوزون تأثيراً موقفاً لنمو العزلات البكتيرية المختبرة وتأثيراً مميتاً للطور اليرقي الثاني لنيماتودا تعقد الجذور . في حين أدى استخدام فوق أكسيد الهيدروجين بتركيزات ١٠ ٣، ٥، ٧ المركيزوسكوب الإلكتروني الماسح تأثير غاز الأوزون (٢٠٥ جزء في المليون) وفوق أكسيد الميدروجين بتركيزات ١٠ ٣، ٥، ٧