# Seed Quality Evaluation of Some Soybean Genotypes under Different Planting Dates

Morsy A. R.<sup>1</sup>, Alaa. M. E. A. Shahein<sup>2</sup>, Eman N.M. Mohamed<sup>2</sup> and Amal M. Elmanzlawy<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Hort Crop processing Res. Department, Food Technology Research Institute, A.R. C., El-Sabahia, Alex, Egypt

<sup>2</sup>Meat and Fish Technol. Res. Dept., Food Technol. Res. Inst., Agric. Research Center, El-Sabahia, Alexandria, Egypt.

Received on: 1/12/2016

Accepted: 30/12/2016

#### ABSTRACT

Soybean seed quality as expressed by physiological, physical and chemical properties was found to be affected by planting date. This study was conducted at Sakha Agricultural Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt during 2013 and 2014 summer seasons to determine the effect of three planting dates (from mid-May to mid-June at 15-day intervals) on seed quality of fifteen soybean genotypes namely; Giza 35, Giza 83, H30 and H117 (Maturity group III), Giza 22, Crawford, Clark, H<sub>2</sub>L<sub>12</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>L<sub>20</sub> (Maturity group IV) and L105, L 153, L155, Toano, Holladay, DR101 (Maturity group V). Combined data showed that delaying planting date until mid-June was significantly increased germination percentage of the produced seed for all genotypes. Toano cv. recorded the highest value of seed germination, followed by DR101, while Giza 35 was the lowest one followed by H30. These differences were directly related to number of days from seed planting to maturity, so that the later-maturing cultivars might produce seed with higher quality and better germination than did earlier ones. The high seed germination of late- planting dates and late-maturing cultivars were accompanied with increases in aging germination with weight reduction in seeds and its electrical conductivity as well as seed density. Delaying soybean planting until mid-June was significantly decreased seed oil percentage and acidity, but increased protein content. The low viability of soybean seed produced from early- planting dates or from early-maturing genotypes was accompanied with significant increases in acidity. The differences in seed viability proved that the more viable soybean seeds, the higher the seed protein content, but the lower the oil and acidity. Accordingly, the early-maturing cultivars such as Giza 83, Giza 35, Clark, Crawford, H117 should be planted in June, while, Toano, Holladay, L155, L153 and L105 could be planted over a longer period of time from the second half of May in order to produce high seed quality.

#### Key words: Glycine max L., planting date, seed germination, oil and protein content.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Soybean (Glycine max (L.), Merrill) crop supplies represented more than 60 (%) of the global demand for vegetable oil and protein (USDA, 2016), with a worldwide production of about 330 million metric tons. Soybean has economic importance as well. Production of viable and vigorous seed is an important goal for soybean seed producer. In Egypt, soybean is planted over a relatively longer period of time extending from mid-April to mid -June depending on the time of cleaning the field from the preceding crop (El-Borai et al., 2006). Delayed planting decreased economic return ha<sup>-1</sup>(Jason and Palle, 2008; David et al., 2016; and Salmeróna et al., 2016), produced lower 100-seed weight, and lower seed yields than early planting (Kandil et al., 2013; Scott et al., 2013; and Morsy et al., 2016).

Planting date not only influences the seed yield, but also the quality of soybean seeds such as germination, oil, and protein contents. Germination of soybean seed is influenced by the genotypes and their planting dates (Morsy *et al*, 2016). It was noticed that soybean seeds obtained from delayed planting were higher in germination than those obtained from earlier ones. Some previous studies showed that the early or late planting dates significantly affected germination, physical properties, and chemical composition of the soybean seed (Green et al, 1965). Seeds produced from later planting dates, generally exhibited higher germination and field emergence (Hu and Wiatrak, 2012, and Muzammal et al, 2014). Similar results were reported by (Harris et al, 1965 and Moosavi et al., 2011). The late planting date produced seeds with high germination and vigor for all cultivars (Amir et al., 2007; Rahman et al, 2013). Planting dates influence the seed composition by changing the content of oil (Muhammad et al., 2009), and protein (Kumar et al., 2006). El-Borai et al., 2006; Kumar et al., 2006; and Tremblay et al., 2006 found that oil content decreased with delayed planting dates and temperature is thought to be related to this response. Delaying planting date usually results in higher seed protein content (kane et al., 1997), although Bastidas et al., (2008) reported an inconsistent effect of planting date on protein content. Oil and protein contents could change according to the cultivar (Bastidas et al., 2008), but environmental conditions seem to have the greatest effect. It was also demonstrated that seed quality of earlier maturing cultivars is generally lower than that of later maturing cultivars (Smith *et al*, 1961, Green *et al*, 1965, Mondragon and Postts 1974, Ross 1975 and Grau and Oplinger, 1981).

Tekrony *et al.*, (1984) evaluated six cultivars of varying maturity in three planting dates for four years and found positive linear relationship between the date of maturity and standard germination and seed vigor. In Egypt, Safia Abdalla and Hassan (1989) studied the effect of nine planting dates (April, 10<sup>th</sup> to June, 30<sup>th</sup>) on seed quality of four soybean varieties for two years and found that delaying planting after (June, 10<sup>th</sup>) significantly increased the germination percentage.

The objective of this work was to study the changes in seed germinability, physical properties and chemical composition of some soybean genotypes planted at different planting dates.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

A two-year field experiment was conducted during 2013 and 2014 summer seasons at the Experimental Farm of Sakha Agricultural Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt, to study the influence of three planting dates (May15<sup>th</sup>, June1<sup>st</sup>, and May15<sup>th</sup>) on seed yield and seed quality of 15 soybean genotypes. The studied soybean genotypes were Giza 22, Giza 35, Giza 83, Clark and Crawford (five cultivars), H30, H<sub>2</sub>L<sub>12</sub>, DR101, H<sub>2</sub>L<sub>20</sub>, L105, L153, L155 and H117 (eight promising lines), and two exotic varieties (Toano and Holladay). These genotypes represent different maturity groups according to the U.S. Classification, i.e. Giza 35, Giza 83, H30 and H117 (Maturity group III), Giza 22, Crawford, Clark, H<sub>2</sub>L<sub>12</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>L<sub>20</sub> (Maturity group IV), and the others are Maturity group V. A detailed description of the code, name, pedigree, maturity group, flower color, seed coat color, pubescence color, hilum color and origin of the tested genotypes are presented in Table (1).

The experimental design was split plot with three replications. The planting dates were devoted to main plots and genotypes to sub plots. Each sub plot consisted of six ridges, 4 m long and 0.70 m apart. Seed of all genotypes were inoculated with the specific rhizobia prior to planting, and other agricultural practices were applied as recommended. In each growing seasons, a seed sample was taken at harvest from each genotype on each planting date to determine the standard germination, seedling vigor, physical properties and chemical composition.

All seed properties were determined at Giza and Sakha Seed Technology Research Section as follow:

The seeds obtained from the treatments were tested for laboratory germination conducted according to international rules (I.S.T.A., 1993). At final count, ten normal seedlings were selected at random from each replication to measure root and shoot length in centimeters (cm) and the same seedlings were oven dried at  $80^{\circ}$ C for 17 h and weighed (mg) to record seedling dry weight. Electrical conductivity (E.C.) was measured according to (A.O.S.A., 1986). Seed index was determined as a weight of hundred seeds, while, relative density of one seeds was calculated according to Kramer and Twigg (1962) as follows: Relative density (g/mm<sup>2</sup>) =

## 100 – see volume (mm³)

For determining accelerated ageing germination (%): Seeds were kept in an ageing chamber at 40°C and 100(%) relative humidity for three days. then, the standard germination test was carried out at 20°C and the mean normal seedling percentages were calculated (A.O.S.A. 1983). At final germination count, ten normal seedlings were selected at random in each replication to measure root and shoot lengths in centimeters (cm) and then, the same seedlings were oven dried at 80°C for 17 h and weighed (mg) to record seedling dry weight.

A part from each seed sample was taken and ground to pass through two mm mesh for chemical analysis. Fat and protein (%) and acidity were determined according to the procedures outlined by A.O.A.C. (1990). All data collected were subjected to standard analysis of variance procedures according to Snedecor and Cochran (1981) and combined analysis was done when the assumption of error heterogeneity cannot be rejected Barllett (1937).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Data in Table (2) show clearly that planting dates had a highly significant effect on soybean seed viability by as expressed germination (%). Delaying planting from May15<sup>th</sup> to June15<sup>th</sup> significantly increased the percentage of seed germination (92.49 %) regardless of soybean cultivars and promising lines. In this connection, Green et al., (1965) concluded that soybean seeds from later planting dates, which reached maturity after hot dry weather had ended, generally exhibited higher germination and field emergence than seed matured during hot dry weather. Similar results were obtained by Safia Abdalla and Hassan (1989), El-Borai et al., (2006), Wrather et al., (2003), Rahman et al., (2013), Zlatica et al., (2014) and Sadeghi and Sheidaei (2016). The seed physical properties were also significantly affected by planting dates that the shoot length (12.04 cm), root length (9.94 cm), seedling dry weigh (170.17 mg), aging germination (91.28 %), shoot length (9.88 cm), root length (9.83 cm) and seedling dry weight of seed (138.17 mg) were significantly increased by delaying planting date, while the electrical conductivity (17.53 µmhos/g), 100-seed weight (13.22 g) and seed density (1.19 %) were significantly decreased.

Genotype	Pedigree	Maturity group	Flower color	Seed coat color	Pubescence color	Hilum color	Origin
H 30	Crawford x L62-1686	III	Purple	Yellow	Tawny	Black	FCRI *
$H_2L_{12}$	Crawford x Celest	IV	Purple	Yellow	Tawny	Gray	FCRI *
Giza 22	Crawford x Forrest	IV	Purple	Yellow	Tawny	Gray	FCRI *
Giza 35	Crawford x Celest	III	Purple	Yellow	Light	Gray	FCRI *
Crawfor	Williams x Columbus	IV	Purple	Yellow	Tawny	Black	USA ***
Toano	Ware x Essex	V	Purple	Yellow	Gray	Yello	AES,
Holladay	N 77-179 x Johnston	V	Purple	Yellow	Light	Yello	AES,
DR101	Selected from Elgin	V	Purple	Yellow	Tawny	Black	FCRI *
Giza 83	Selected from MBB-133- 9Union x L 76-0038(Williams x PI 171451)	III	White	Yellow	Tawny	Black	FCRI *
Clark	Lincoln x Richland	IV	Purple	Yellow	Tawny	Gray	USA ***
$H_2L_{20}$	Giza 83 X H <sub>5</sub> L <sub>23</sub>	IV	Purple	Yellow	Light	Gray	FCRI *
L105	Giza 35 x Lamar	V	Purple	Yellow	Gray	Black	FCRI *
L153	Giza 83 x Giza 21	V	Purple	Yellow	Gray	Black	FCRI *
L155	L86-K-73 x Giza 21	V	Purple	Yellow	Tawny	Black	FCRI *
H117	D89-8940 x Giza 111	III	Purple	Yellow	Gray	Black	FCRI *

 Table 1: The pedigree, maturity group, flower color, seed coat color, pubescence color, hilum color and origin of tested soybean genotypes.

\* FCRI = Field Crops Research Institute, Giza, Egypt.

\*\* AES, USA = Agricultural Experiment Station, USA.

\*\*\* USA = U. S. Regional Soybean Laboratory at Urbana, Illinois, and Stoneville, Mississipi.

Table 2: Effect of planting date on seed germination (%), seedling vigor, aging germination and physical properties of some soybean genotypes (data are combined over two growing seasons 2013 and 2014)

Character	Normal test				Aging test						
Treatment	Germination	Shoot	Root	Seedling	Germination	Shoot	Root	Seedling	E.C.	100-seed	Relative
	(%)	length	length	dry	(%)	length	length	dry	(µmhos	weight	density
		(cm)	( <b>cm</b> )	weight		( <b>cm</b> )	(cm)	weight	/g)	(g)	(%)
				(mg)				(mg)			
Planting dates											
D1	72.57 <sup>c</sup>	6.15 <sup>c</sup>	7.45 <sup>c</sup>	119.27 <sup>c</sup>	84.48 <sup>b</sup>	4.60 <sup>c</sup>	4.15 <sup>c</sup>	90.00 <sup>c</sup>	29.27 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	17.77 <sup>a</sup>	$1.21^{a}$
D2	$76.52^{b}$	$7.79^{b}$	$8.80^{b}$	136.01 <sup>b</sup>	85.64 <sup>b</sup>	$5.60^{b}$	$5.75^{b}$	94.38 <sup>b</sup>	26.71 <sup>b</sup>	$14.93^{b}$	$1.21^{a}$
D3	92.49 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	9.94 <sup>a</sup>	12.04 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	170.17 <sup>a</sup>	91.28 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	9.83 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	9.88 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	138.17 <sup>a</sup>	17.53 <sup>c</sup>	13.22 <sup>c</sup>	$1.19^{b}$
F. Test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Genotype											
H30	66.38 <sup>h</sup>	$6.24^{h}$	$8.94^{d}$	99.61 <sup>i</sup>	76.00 <sup>f</sup>	$5.08^{h}$	6.93 <sup>bc</sup>	88.61 <sup>bc</sup>	39.13 <sup>a</sup>	$13.55^{h}$	$1.208^{de}$
H2L12	81.44 <sup>d</sup>	7.66 <sup>f</sup>	$8.80^{d}$	131.68 <sup>e</sup>	86.77 <sup>c</sup>	$6.66^{de}$	$5.24^{h}$	$80^h$	$24.89^{d}$	14.15 <sup>g</sup>	$1.20^{de}$
Giza 22	71.33 <sup>fg</sup>	$8.41^{cd}$	9.74 <sup>c</sup>	160.27 <sup>c</sup>	89.55 <sup>b</sup>	7.15 <sup>c</sup>	$5.82^{g}$	$114.72^{g}$	18.99 <sup>fg</sup>	16.66 <sup>ab</sup>	$1.22^{c}$
Giza 35	80.33 <sup>de</sup>	$6.84^{gh}$	$8.68^{d}$	117.80 <sup>f</sup>	81.66 <sup>e</sup>	5.45 <sup>e</sup>	$6.50^{de}$	$12.22^{de}$	$32.97^{b}$	16.89 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	1.19 <sup>ef</sup>
Crawford	81.55 <sup>d</sup>	8.21 <sup>def</sup>	9.69 <sup>c</sup>	139.44 <sup>d</sup>	89.55 <sup>b</sup>	$6.92^{cd}$	6.27 <sup>ef</sup>	90.27 <sup>ef</sup>	$20.11^{f}$	14.35 <sup>g</sup>	$1.18^{gh}$
Toano	92.94 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	9.88 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	10.93 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	$185.00^{a}$	94.44 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	8.46 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	7.64 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	114.72 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	$18.00^{g}$	15.37 <sup>e</sup>	$1.17^{hI}$
Holladay	83.88 <sup>bc</sup>	8.91 <sup>bc</sup>	$10.15^{b}$	173.33 <sup>b</sup>	90.66 <sup>b</sup>	$7.64^{b}$	7.67 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	116.38 <sup>a</sup>	$18.71^{fg}$	16.13 <sup>c</sup>	1.19 <sup>ef</sup>
DR101	84.66 <sup>b</sup>	$9.25^{b}$	10.74 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	191.94 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	93.55 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	$7.85^{b}$	$7.23^{b}$	9.55 <sup>a</sup>	$18.48^{fg}$	15.25 <sup>e</sup>	$1.20^{de}$
Giza 83	$70.55^{g}$	$6.51^{gh}$	$8.90^{d}$	106.66 <sup>hi</sup>	80.44 <sup>e</sup>	$5.15^{gh}$	$5.32^{h}$	81.11 <sup>b</sup>	22.76 <sup>e</sup>	$16.55^{b}$	$1.19^{fg}$
Clark	81.55 <sup>d</sup>	7.80 <sup>ef</sup>	$8.87^{d}$	131.66 <sup>e</sup>	98.11 <sup>b</sup>	$6.72^{de}$	6.74 <sup>cd</sup>	$110.88^{h}$	22.69 <sup>e</sup>	15.84 <sup>cd</sup>	1.23 <sup>b</sup>
H2L20	80.33 <sup>de</sup>	7.59 <sup>f</sup>	$8.78^{d}$	127.30 <sup>e</sup>	85.44 <sup>cd</sup>	6.43 <sup>f</sup>	$6.00^{fg}$	121.11 <sup>cd</sup>	27.69 <sup>c</sup>	14.93 <sup>f</sup>	$1.16^{hi}$
L105	81.91 <sup>cd</sup>	$8.71^{bcd}$	9.83 <sup>bc</sup>	$166.38^{bc}$	90.00 <sup>b</sup>	7.09 <sup>c</sup>	$7.10^{b}$	119.16 <sup>fg</sup>	1899 <sup>fg</sup>	$15.54^{de}$	$1.22^{b}$
L153	79.00 <sup>e</sup>	6.91 <sup>g</sup>	8.66 <sup>d</sup>	$109.42^{gh}$	84.44 <sup>cd</sup>	5.98 <sup>f</sup>	7.13 <sup>b</sup>	123.61 <sup>b</sup>	32.87 <sup>b</sup>	14.80 <sup>f</sup>	1.21 <sup><i>d</i></sup>
L155	83.72 <sup>bc</sup>	8.85 <sup>bcd</sup>	9.94 <sup>bc</sup>	170.83 <sup>b</sup>	90.66 <sup>b</sup>	$7.74^{b}$	$7.10^{b}$	$126.38^{b}$	19.43 <sup>fg</sup>	12.74 <sup><i>i</i></sup>	1.26 <sup><i>a</i></sup>
H117	80.33 <sup>de</sup>	7.62 <sup>f</sup>	$8.77^{d}$	115.95 <sup>fg</sup>	86.11 <sup>cd</sup>	5.86 <sup>f</sup>	6.35 <sup>e</sup>	118.05 <sup>e</sup>	31.85 <sup>b</sup>	16.88 <sup>ab</sup>	$1.17^{hi}$
F. Test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

Means designated by different letters in the same column are significantly different at 0.05 level of probability according to Duncan's multiple range tests.

Furthermore the soybean cultivars and promising lines highly significantly differed in seed germination (%). Toano recorded the highest value (92.94 %), while, H30 (66.38 %) was the lowest in this trait. These results demonstrated that there was a direct relationship between the cultivar differences in seed viability, as expressed by germination percentage and the number of days to maturity within each cultivar. It was obvious that the seeds of the long-duration cultivars were more viable than those of the short duration cultivars. Toano gave the highest values of seed vigor, aging germination, shoot length; root length and seedling dry weight. These results agreed with those reported by Smith et al., (1961), Green et al., (1965), Mondragon and Potts (1974), Ross (1975), Grau and Oplinger (1981), Tekrony et al., (1984), El-Borai et al., (2006), Wrather et al., (2003), Rahman et al., (2013), Zlatica et al., (2014) and Sadeghi and Sheidaei (2016) who reported that seed quality of earlier maturing cultivars at a given location is generally lower than that of later maturing cultivars. Moreover data in Table (2) also show that Giza 22, Toano, Holladay, DR101 and L105 genotypes were lowest in EC. Giza 35 gave the heaviest 100-seed weight (16.89 g), while line L155 gave the lowest

value (12.74 g). Line L155 gave the highest relative density (1.26 %), while Toano,  $H_2L_{20}$  and H117 gave the lowest values (1.17, 1.16 and 1.17 %), respectively.

Furthermore, data in Table (3), indicated that oil and protein contents (%) of soybean seed were highly significantly affected by different planting dates. Delaying soybean planting had significantly decreased oil content, while protein content This result could be significantly increases. explained by the report of El-Borai et al., (2006). Delaying planting date reduced the percentage of acidity in soybean seeds (10.79 %). Low seed viability produced from early planting dates was accompanied by the increase of acidity. Concerning soybean cultivars, Toano was the highest in oil content (24.62 %), while Giza 83 was the lowest (20.32 %). Also, H30, Giza 35 and Giza 83 were the highest in protein content (37.17, 37.09 and 37.11 %), respectively, while line H<sub>2</sub>L<sub>20</sub> was the lowest (32.73 %). Toano and line DR101 were the lowest in acidity. It could be concluded that there was a direct relationship between genotypes differences in seed viability and the genotypes differences in seed acidity as shown in Table (3).

Table 3: Effect of planting date on seed chemical composition of some soybean genotypes (data are combined over two growing seasons, 2013 and 2014)

	Character	<b>Oil</b> (%)	Protein (%)	Acidity (%)
Treatment				
Planting date				
D1		25.16 <sup>a</sup>	32.38 °	13.75 <sup>a</sup>
D2		20.01 <sup>b</sup>	35.57 <sup>b</sup>	11.19 <sup>b</sup>
D3		19.69 <sup>c</sup>	38.31 <sup>a</sup>	10.79 <sup>c</sup>
F. Test		**	**	**
Genotype				
H30		20.19 <sup>j</sup>	37.17 <sup>a</sup>	13.05 <sup>a</sup>
H2L12		21.13 <sup>g</sup>	35.88 <sup>cd</sup>	12.09 <sup>d</sup>
Giza 22		$21.56^{f}$	34.98 <sup>ef</sup>	11.62 <sup>f</sup>
Giza 35		$20.32^{ij}$	37.09 <sup>a</sup>	12.71 <sup>b</sup>
Crawford		$21.52^{\rm f}$	35.23 <sup>e</sup>	11.83 <sup>e</sup>
Toano		24.62 <sup>a</sup>	35.91 <sup>cd</sup>	10.93 <sup>h</sup>
Holladay		23.60 <sup>c</sup>	33.95 <sup>h</sup>	11.05 <sup>gh</sup>
DR101		23.94 <sup>b</sup>	33.80 <sup>h</sup>	10.98 <sup>h</sup>
Giza 83		$20.32^{ij}$	37.11 <sup>a</sup>	12.77 <sup>b</sup>
Clark		21.21 <sup>g</sup>	35.82 <sup>cd</sup>	$12.10^{d}$
H2L20		$20.58^{h}$	32.73 <sup>i</sup>	$12.12^{d}$
L105		21.83 <sup>e</sup>	34.74 <sup>g</sup>	11.23 <sup>g</sup>
L153		20.51 <sup>hi</sup>	36.36 <sup>b</sup>	12.62 <sup>b</sup>
L155		22.34 <sup>d</sup>	34.35 <sup>g</sup>	11.22 <sup>g</sup>
<sup>H</sup> 117		$20.52^{hi}$	36.25 <sup>bc</sup>	12.32 <sup>c</sup>
F. Test		**	**	**

Means designated by different letters in the same column are significantly different at (0.05 level) of probability according to Duncan's multiple range tests.

\*\* Significantly different at (0.01) level.

Table 4: Interaction effect of planting date and soybean genotypes on seed germination, physica
properties and some chemical composition (data are combined over two growing seasons, 2013
and 2014)

Interaction	Germination	Aging	EC	100-seed	<b>Oil</b> (%)	Protein	Acidity
	(%)	(%)	µmhos/g	weight (g)		(%)	(%)
H30× first	69.33	72.00	22.29	16.93	22.74	38.59	13.45
H30×second	76.00	80.00	17.80	14.60	21.30	39.30	13.20
H30×third	91.67	89.33	15.35	10.93	19.50	40.52	11.48
$H_2L_{12} \times first$	56.67	71.33	21.64	19.51	21.50	36.64	13.96
$H_2L_{12} \times second$	61.33	80.67	19.92	13.28	20.70	38.29	13.08
$H_2L_{12} \times third$	96.00	93.00	16.73	10.27	19.37	39.26	12.12
Giza 22× first	84.67	79.33	20.36	20.90	25.12	33.64	12.38
Giza 22× second	75.00	92.33	19.76	15.45	20.87	36.02	11.26
Giza 22× third	92.00	95.67	16.87	14.29	19.53	39.09	9.29
Giza 35× first	70.67	84.67	23.38	17.53	24.44	31.34	12.25
Giza 35× second	83.00	91.00	19.41	15.44	20.09	37.08	10.82
Giza 35× third	91.00	93.00	14.20	13.46	21.50	42.85	11.22
Crawford× first	81.33	81.67	23.23	16.32	23.11	34.45	14.78
Crawford× second	77.67	86.33	20.41	14.73	20.11	36.37	12.02
Crawford× third	95.00	87.33	12.52	11.37	19.53	40.50	11.51
Toano× first	64.67	84.33	26.70	20.36	22.85	32.76	13.58
Toano× second	64.00	86.67	24.60	17.81	21.17	36.41	10.20
Toano× third	83.00	91.33	17.00	12.56	20.40	38.75	9.92
Holladay× first	75.00	74.33	26.88	17.29	22.93	32.92	14.46
Holladay× second	80.00	86.33	17.24	14.63	19.68	35.50	11.89
Holladay× third	89.76	95.67	16.23	12.87	18.60	41.08	10.93
DR101× first	77.33	80.33	23.13	19.72	24.34	29.69	13.71
DR101× second	75.67	83.00	18.30	15.92	20.48	34.23	11.63
DR101× third	88.00	85.00	12.57	11.89	18.16	41.77	10.17
Giza 83× first	49.00	70.00	54.26	16.54	21.08	29.42	14.34
Giza 83× second	70.50	75.00	42.75	14.48	20.30	36.32	12.06
Giza 83× third	79.67	79.00	20.37	12.65	20.17	38.10	10.56
$Clark \times first$	92.50	91.00	30.77	18.87	24.70	31.15	14.14
$Clark \times second$	92.67	95.33	22.84	16.44	20.64	35.71	11.95
$Clark \times third$	73.67	97.00	14.27	15.38	18.30	37.37	10.42
$H_2L_{20} \times first$	62.50	82.00	41.54	17.97	25.14	32.83	12.94
$H_2L_{20}$ × second	74.50	90.00	37.84	16.78	20.47	34.34	11.58
$H_2L_{20} \times third$	82.67	95.00	16.19	15.23	19.09	36.78	9.33
L105× first	69.00	85.33	43.72	13.94	25.05	32.51	13.32
L105× second	82.50	90.33	37.74	12.39	20.21	36.68	11.82
L105× third	94.33	94.33	17.17	12.00	18.55	38.54	9.66
L153× first	78.67	84.33	41.17	19.50	24.91	32.63	13.45
L153× second	74.67	88.00	30.44	15.94	22.53	33.23	10.08
L153× third	87.66	92.33	25.28	14.23	19.43	40.78	9.63
L155× first	76.67	84.00	30.93	17.34	23.81	32.75	13.36
L155× second	77.33	91.67	24.32	16.09	20.60	35.55	10.76
L155× third	90.33	96.33	19.44	12.70	19.41	40.77	9.54
H117× first	80.50	89.67	44.03	14.95	24.74	31.43	14.14
H117× second	83.00	92.00	29.29	13.31	19.14	36.58	12.45
H117× third	87.67	99.00	25.61	12.40	17.71	39.46	11.26
LSD (0.01)	3.353	3.030	3.000	0.548	0.401	0.689	0.326
	0.000	2.020	2.000	0.010	001	0.007	0.020

The interaction effect of planting dates and soybean genotypes on seed germination was highly significant as shown in Table (4). Seeds of  $H_2L_{12}$  produced from lateplanting date (July 1st) were the best in germination (96.00 %), while seeds of Giza 83 produced in early planting date (May 15<sup>th</sup>) were the lowest in this respect (49.00 %). Line H117 produced the highest aging germination percentage (99.00 %) with the late planting date; while Giza 83 produced in the early planting date was the lowest in aging germination (70.00 %). Crawford cultivar and line DR101 produced the lowest E.C. with early planting date (12.52 and 12.57 µmhos/g), while Giza 83 with the early planting date gave the highest value (54.26 µmhos/g). Giza 22 and Toano cultivars with early planting date (first May) produced the highest 100seed weights (20.90 and 20.36 g), respectively. While, H30 and  $H_2L_{12}$  lines had the lowest 100-seed weights (10.93 and 10.27 g) with the late planting date (July  $15^{\text{th}}$ ).

Data in Table (4), also, revealed that viable soybean seeds of either late-maturing genotypes or produced from late planting dates were also higher in protein, but they were lower in oil content and acidity. These findings agreed with those obtained by El-Borai *et al.*, (1993) who found a direct relationship between the reduction in viability of soybean seeds during storage and the increases in acidity. Such results suggested that, viable seeds of the early-maturing cultivars Giza 83, Giza 35, H30, H117, Clark, Crawford,  $H_2L_{12}$ ,  $H_2L_{20}$  and L153, could be obtained from June planting. In addition, the viable seeds of Toano, Holladay, L105, L155 and DR101could be produced over a longer period, starting from the onset of May.

#### REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (**1990**). Official Method of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (15<sup>th</sup> edition, published by Association of Official Analysical Chemists, Arlington, Virginia, USA).
- A.O.S.A. (1983). Seed vigor testing handbook. Contribution No. 32 to Handbook on seed testing. Association of Official Seed Analysts, pp: 88-93.
- A. O. S. A., (1986). Association of Official Seed Analysis. Seed Vigor Testing Hand Book, No., 32, p. 1.
- Amir, Z. K.; H. Khan; A. Ghoneim; R. Khan and A. Ebid. (2007). Seed quality and vigor of soybean as influenced by planting date, density and cultivar under temperate environment. International J. of Agric. Res. 2(4): 386-376.
- Bartllett, M.S. (1937). Some samples of statical method of research in agriculture and applied biology Jour Roy Soc.4:2.
- Bastidas, A.M.; T.D. Setiyono; A. Dobermann; K.G. Cassman; R.W. Elmore; G.L. Graef and J.E. Specht. (2008). Soybean sowing date: The vegetative, reproductive, and agronomic impacts. Crop Sci. 48:727–740.

- David A. M.; B. J. Haverkampb; R. G. Laurenzc; J. M. Orlowskid; E. W. Wilsone; S. N. Casteelf; C. D. Leeg; S. L. Naevee; E. D. Nafzigerh; K. L. Roozeboomb; W. J. Rossi; K. D. Thelenc and S. P. Conleya. (2016). Characterizing genotype × management interactions on soybean seed yield. Crop Sci., 56 (2): 786-796.
- El-Borai, M.A.; M.I. El-Emery; Soaad A. El-Sayed, and Ola A.M. El-Galaly. (**2006**). Optimal sowing date for producing high quality soybean seed in Egypt. First Field Crops Conference 22-24, August 372-380.
- El-Borai, M. A., Nadia A. El-Aidy and M. El-Emery. (1993). Effect of different storage periods on seed quality of three soybean cultivars. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 18 (8): 2206-2211.
- Grau, C. R. and E. S. Oplinger. (**1981**). Influence of cultural practices and foliar fungicdes on soybean yield, Phomopsis seed infection and seed germination. Proc. Soybean seed Res. Conf.11<sup>th</sup>,11-18.
- Green, D. E.; E. L. Pinnell; L. E. Gavanah and L. F. Williams. (1965). Effect of planting date and maturity date on soybean seed quality. Agron. J. 57:165-168.
- Harris, H. B.; M. B. Parker and B. J. Johnson. (1965). Influence of molybdenum content of soybean seed and other factors associated with seed source on progeny response to applied molybdenum. Agro. J. 57: 397-399.
- Hu, M. and P. Wiatrak. (2012). Effect of planting date on soybean growth, yield, and grain quality. Rev. Agron. J., 104: 785-790.
- I.S.T.A. (1993). International rules for seed testing. Association seed Sci. and Technol., 27: 155-165.
- Jason L. De B. and P. Pedersen, (**2008**). Soybean seed yield response to planting date and seeding rate in the upper Midwest. Agro. J. **100(3)**: 696–703.
- Kandil A.A.; A.E. Sharief;A.R. Morsy and El-Sayed, A.I. Manar. (2013). Influence of planting date on some genotypes of soybean growth, yield, and grain quality. J. of Biological Sci., 13(3), 146-151.
- Kane, M.V.; C.C. Steele; L.J. Grabau; C.T. MacKown and D.F. Hildebrand. (1997). Early-maturing soybean cropping system .3. Protein and oil contents and oil composition. Agron. J. 89: 464-469.
- Kramer, A. and B. A. Twigg (1962). Fundamentals of Quality Control for The Food Industry. AVI Publishing Co., West Port C, pp521 U.S.A.
- Kumar, V.; A. Rani; V. Pandey; P. Mande and G.S. Chauhan. (2006). Compositional traits of soybean seeds as influenced by planting date in India. E×p. Agric. 42:19-28.

- Mondragon, R. L. and H. C. Potts. (**1974**). Field deterioration of soybeans as affected by environment. Proc. Assoc. Off. Seed Anal. **64**: 63-71.
- Moosavi, S.S.; S.M.J. Mirhadi; A. A. Imani; A. M. Khaneghah and B. S. Moghanlou. (2011). Study of effect of planting date on vegetative traits, reproductive traits and grain yield of soybean cultivars in cold region of Ardabil (Iran). African J. Agric. Res., 6: 4879-4883.
- Morsy A. R.; Eman N.M. Mohamed and Th.M. Abou-Sin. (**2016**). Seed yield and seed quality of some soybean genotypes as influenced by planting date. J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., **7(11)**: 1165-1171.
- Muhammad, A.; S.K. Khalil; K.B. Marwat; A.Z. Khan; I.H. Khalil; Amanullah and S.Arifullah. (2009). Nutritional quality and production of soybean land races and improved varieties as affected by planting dates. Pak. J. Bot. 41: 683-689.
- Muzammal R.; T. Khaliq; A. Ahmad; S. A. Wajid; F. Rasul; J. Hussain and S. Hussain. (**2014**). Effect of planting time and cultivar on soybean performance in semi-arid Punjab, Pakistan. Global J. of Sci. Frontier Res. **14(3)**:41-45.
- Rahman. M. M.; M. M. Rahman and M. M. Hossain. (2013). Effect of sowing date on germination and vigor of soybean { $Glycine ma \times$  (L.) Merr} seeds. The Agriculturists 11(1): 67-75.
- Ross, J. P. (1975). Effect of overhead irrigation and benomyl sprays on late reason foliar diseases, seed infection and yields of soybean. Plant Dis. Rep. 59: 809-813.
- Sadeghi. H. and S. Sheidaei. (2016). Evaluation of the effect of planting date and density on germination and vigor of soybean seed. Seed and Plant Certification and Registration Research Institute, Iran. September 18-21.
- Safia, T. Abdalla and M. Z. Hassan. (1989). Optimal planting date for seed quality and seed yield of irrigation soybean in Egypt. Trop. Agric. (Trinidad). Vol. 66 (2): 145-148.

- Salmeróna, M.; E. E. Gburb; F. M. Bourlandc; N.W. Buehringd; L. Earneste; F. B. Fritschif; B. R. Goldeng; D. Hathcoati; J. Loftonh; A. T. McClurem; T. D. Milleri; C. Neelyi; G. Shannonj; T. K. Udeigwel; D. A. Verbreem; E. D. Voriesk; W. J. Wieboldf and L. C. Purcell. (2016). Yield response to planting date among soybean maturity groups for irrigated production in the US Mid-South. Crop Sci., 56(1): 1-13.
- Scott C. Rowntreea; J. J. Suhreb; N. H. Weidenbennerc; E. W. Wilsond; V. M. Davisa; S. L. Naevec; S. N. Casteeld; B. W. Diersb; P; D. Eskere; J. E. Spechtf and S. P. Conley. (2013). Genetic gain × management interactions in soybean: I. Planting date. Crop Sci., 53: 1128-1138.
- Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran. (1981). Statistical methods, seventh Edition, Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, Iowa, USA.
- Smith, T. J., M. T. Carter., G. D. Jones and M. W. Ale×ander (**1961**). Soybean performance in Virginia as affected by variety and planting date. Virginia Agric. E×p. Stn. Bull. 526.
- Tekrony, D. M., D. B. Egli, John Balles, L. Tomes and R. E. Stuckey (**1984**). Effect of date of harvest maturity on soybean seed quality and *Phomopsis* sp seed infection. Crop Sci. **24**: 189-193.
- Tremblay, G.J.; J.M. Beausoleil; P. Filion and M. Saulnier. (**2006**). Response of three soybean cultivars to seeding date. Can. J. Plant Sci. **86**:1071-1078.
- USDA; United States Department of Agriculture. (2016). USDA-Foreign Agricultural Service; Production, Supply and Distribution Database, https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/USDAF AS/subscriber/new.
- Wrather, J. A.; D. A. Sleper; W. E. Stevens; J. G. Shannon and R. F. Wilson. (2003). Planting date and cultivar effects on soybean yield, seed quality, and *Phomopsis* sp. seed infection. The American Phytopathological Society. Plant Disease Vol. 87 No. 5. 529-532.
- Zlatica. M.; S. B.Tubić; V. Đorđević ; V. Đukić; A. Ilić and L. Čobanović. (**2014**). Effect of soybean seed priming on germination and vigour depending on the seed lot and sowing date. Ratar.Povrt. **51**: 2 10-115.

## الملخص العربى

# تقييم جودة البذور لبعض التراكيب الوراثية لفول الصويا تحت مواعيد زراعة مختلفة

أكرم رشاد مرسى'، آلاء محمد المهدي أحمد شاهين'، إيمان نبيل محمود محمد و امال محمود المنزلاوي' فسم بحوث المحاصيل البقولية – معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية – مركز البحوث الزراعية. قسم تكنولوجيا البذور – معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية – مركز البحوث الزراعية.

أجريت هذه الدراسة في محطة البحوث الزراعية بسخا- كفر الشيخ- مصر. خلال موسمي الزراعة الصيفي ٢٠١٣ و ٢٠١٤م لدراسة تأثير ثلاثة مواعيد زراعة على جودة البذور لخمسة عشر تركيبا وراثيا من فول الصويا. كانت التراكيب الوراثية كالآتي: جيزة ٣٥ وجيزة ٨٣ وH11 (مجموعه نضج III) وجيزة ٢٢ وClark (مجموعه نضج III) وجيزة ٢٢ و و Crawford و H<sub>2</sub>L<sub>12</sub> و H<sub>2</sub>L<sub>20</sub> و AL15 (مجموعة نضبج IV) والتراكيب Toano و Holladay و L105 و L153 و L155 و DR101 (مجموعة نضج V) . أوضحت النتائج أن تأخير ميعاد الزراعة حتى منتصف يونيو أدى الى زيادة معنوية في نسبه الإنبات و أن الصنف Toano أعطى أعلى نسبة إنبات يليه السلالة DR101 بينما الصنف جيزة ٣٥ والسلالة. H30أعطت أقل قيمه. وترجع هذه الاختلافات إلى العلاقة المباشرة لتأثير عدد أيام النضج حيث أن الأصناف المتأخرة النضج تعطى بذور عالية الجودة و أفضل في نسبة الإنبات عن الأصناف المبكرة النضج. وترجع أعلى نسبه إنبات لمواعيد الزراعة المتأخرة و الأصناف المتأخرة في النضج إلى زيادة القدره التخزينية للبذور مع النقص في وزن البذور وقيمة التوصيل الكهربي والكثافة النوعية. وقد أدى تأخير الزراعة حتى منتصف يونيو إلى نقص نسبة الزيت والحموضة الكلية، ولكن أدت الى زيادة نسبة البرونين.و يرجع انخفاض الحيوية في بذور فول الصويا إلى الزراعة المبكرة والأصناف مبكرة النضج و ذلك لزيادة الحموضة الكلية. كما أن زيادة حيوية البذور لابد وأن تكون مصاحبة لزيادة نسبة البروتين في البذرة مع انخفاض الحموضة الكلية. لذلك ينصح بزراعة الأصناف مبكرة النضج مثل جيزة ٨٣، جيزة ٣٥، H117 ، H30 ، جيزة ٢٢ و Crawford و H<sub>2</sub>L<sub>12</sub> و H<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub> و L153 في شهر يونيو بينما Toano و Holladay و Holladay L105 و L155 و DR101 المتأخرة النضج تحتاج لفترة نمو أطول لذلك يفضل زراعتها في شهر مايو لإنتاج بذور عاليه الجودة والحيوية.